Guidelines for environmental monitoring of petroleum activities on the Norwegian continental shelf
Summary

Companies operating on the Norwegian continental shelf are required to carry out environmental monitoring in order to obtain information on the actual and potential environmental impacts of their activities and to provide authorities with a better basis for regulation. These guidelines are a result of the cooperation between the Norwegian Environment Agency, an expert advisory group appointed by the Agency, oil and gas companies and consultancy firms.

The guidelines contain detailed requirements on how to carry out and report from the monitoring activities. They cover the expected scope of monitoring activities, which parameters must be analyzed, and which methods must be used, as well as provide requirements on necessary accreditation and templates for reporting.
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Foreword

Companies operating on the Norwegian continental shelf are required to carry out environmental monitoring in order to obtain information on the actual and potential environmental impacts of their activities and to provide environmental authorities with a better basis for regulating releases of pollutants. These guidelines are a result of the cooperation between the Norwegian Environment Agency (the Agency), an expert advisory group appointed by the Agency, oil and gas companies and consultancy firms. Their purpose is to provide detailed instructions on how to meet the general requirements of the HSQ-regulations.

It is crucial for monitoring results and their usability that monitoring is conducted within a clear framework. Results must be comparable over time. In addition, monitoring surveys must generally be conducted in the same way across the whole continental shelf, to be able to compare fields and regions. This is also important because results are reported internationally.

Detailed requirements for environmental monitoring on the Norwegian continental shelf have earlier been comprised by the Regulations relating to conducting petroleum activities (the Activities Regulations). These detailed requirements were removed from the Activities Regulations with effect from 1 January 2010 and incorporated into the present guidelines. This document has been revised on several occasions.

The main goal of this revision is to clarify our requirements related to environmental monitoring og the water column in chapter 3 of the guidelines, as well as improve the text’s structure and readability throughout the chapter. Following are among the most significant changes we've made in the version of the guidelines:

- We have replaced the word "should" with "must/shall" wherever needed in order to be clearer and differentiate requirements from recommendations.
- We have gone through the method tables in the previous guidelines together with relevant professionals and the Agency's expert advisory group. Based on conclusions from that work, we have drawn forth methods required to be used in the water column monitoring in table 3-1 and table 3-2, while methods that require more development and validation before they can be used in the monitoring have been placed in table 6-1 in Appendix I.
- The tables over parameteres to be analysed in fish abd blue mussels in future field surveys are simplified, with more details given on particular methods.
- We have detailed our requerements related to planing of and reporting from the method development projects that are a part of the water column monitoring.

We have also simplified the introductory chapter and updated table 4-1.

Signe Nåmdal

Director of the Industry and Marine Environment Department
Norwegian Environment Agency, Oslo, mars 2020
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1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of offshore environmental monitoring

The purpose of the offshore environmental monitoring is to provide an overview of the environmental status and trends over time seen in relation to offshore oil and gas activities. Monitoring is intended to indicate whether the environmental status on the Norwegian continental shelf is stable, deteriorating or improving, due to operators’ activities. In addition to identifying trends, the results are to as far as possible provide a basis for projections for future developments.

Overall, the environmental monitoring is to contribute towards describing to what degree a station or a wider area around an installation or in a region is impacted because of discharges from oil and gas activities. It is important that results from the environmental monitoring offshore can be used to verify predictions and conclusions of the environmental impact assessment study for the respective field or for the region.

Environmental monitoring of offshore oil and gas activities includes monitoring of the water column and of benthic habitats (sediments, soft-bottom fauna and hard-bottom fauna). Operators and authorities use monitoring results as

- a source of information and as grounds for decision making regarding new measures to be implemented offshore.
- input for developing and reporting on national environmental indicators for the offshore oil and gas industry
- information for the Norwegian Ministry of Climate and Environment and to international bodies such as OSPAR.

1.2 Monitoring requirements

Pursuant to section 49 of the Act of 13 March 1981 No. 6 concerning protection against pollution and concerning waste (Pollution Control Act), any person that causes pollution has a duty “to provide the pollution control authority or other public bodies with any information necessary to enable them to carry out their tasks pursuant to this Act”. Further, under section 51 of the Act, the Agency as well as the Norwegian Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority have the power to order investigations to “determine whether and to what extent the activity results in or may result in pollution”.

Specific requirements relating to environmental monitoring are set out in sections 52-56 of Regulations relating to conducting petroleum activities (the Activities Regulations), which deal with environmental surveys of the water column and benthic habitats, and cooperation between operators within the same region. The scope of the monitoring must be proportional to the expected risk. Section 34 of the Regulations relating to management and the duty to provide information in the petroleum activities and at certain onshore facilities (The
Management Regulations) contains requirements concerning reporting results from monitoring of the external marine environment.

Detailed requirements for environmental monitoring on the Norwegian continental shelf were previously included in the Activities Regulations. As part of the legislation simplifying process and in order to provide more flexibility when changes were needed, the detailed descriptions of monitoring procedures have been transferred from the regulations to the present guidelines. The regulations now contain general requirements, while the guidelines provide more detailed instructions on how the requirements can best be met. The guidelines describe the expected scope of the monitoring activities, provide the parameters that must be analysed and the methods that must be used, as well as requirements regarding necessary accreditation and templates for reporting.

Additional monitoring surveys and auditing

If the Agency deems it necessary, further or other types of surveys may be required to investigate the environmental condition and effects of emissions, cf. Sections 52, 54 and 55 of the Activity Regulations. The Agency and operators will agree on the scope and nature of such investigations.

Authorities may carry out inspections and controls of environmental monitoring activities, in the same way they do for other activities regulated by environmental legislation. This applies to all stages, from planning of the surveys to the use of the results by individual operators to improve their environmental performance.

1.3 Planning and cooperation

Operators in a region where monitoring is planned are responsible for drawing up draft programmes for monitoring of the water column (either field surveys or method development) and of the benthic habitats in the region. Operators are required to build their environmental monitoring programmes on the requirements of the activities regulations, on the instructions given in these guidelines, on results from previous surveys and based on the risk and discharge status on a felt or in a region.

The draft programmes are presented and discussed at annual planning meetings, where the Agency, an expert advisory group appointed by the Agency, NOROG and relevant operators participate. Operators make the necessary corrections and send the final programme to the Agency.

A forum for offshore environmental monitoring is held annually. This is a meeting place where operators and consultants are invited to present the most recent results (from the previous year’s surveys), as well as plans for future monitoring. The forum also provides an opportunity for lectures and discussions around current issues related to offshore environmental monitoring. The forum is organised alternately by the Agency and NOROG.

As part of the environmental monitoring regime, operators are required to contribute to method development, cf. § 52 of the Activities Regulations.
1.4 Description of the monitoring regimes

Monitoring of the water column

Section 55 of the Activities Regulations stipulates that monitoring of the water column must be carried out in connection with offshore oil and gas activities. The monitoring is intended to document whether and to what extent organisms in Norwegian waters are affected by pollution generated by oil and gas activities.

Water column monitoring shall consist of field studies and method development (see Chapter 3 on monitoring of the water column). The field surveys are conducted every three years and shall as a minimum include oceanographic measurements, analysis of chemical parameters and investigations of organisms placed in cages (mainly mussels) and of wild caught organisms (mainly fish). The period between two field studies will be used for further development and qualification of methodology for future water column monitoring.

Monitoring of benthic habitats (sediments and soft- and hard-bottom fauna)

According to sections 53 and 54 of the Activities Regulations, monitoring of benthic habitats consists of two main elements:

- Baseline surveys, which are required before exploration drilling in new areas, in areas where vulnerable benthic species and habitats occur or in areas where there is reason to believe these may occur, and before production drilling.

- After production drilling has started, must new fields be included in the regional monitoring surveys and normally be monitored every three years. At least two monitoring surveys need to be carried out after the production phase is over.

Monitoring of benthic habitats consists mainly of taking samples of the seabed with an approved grab type and analysing the sediment samples for heavy metals, oil compounds and other relevant substances, as well as describe the composition and condition of soft bottom fauna (see Chapter 4 on grab sample surveys).

In areas where vulnerable bottom habitats might be present or where conventional sampling equipment is not appropriate, customized investigations should be done as a substitute or in addition to taking sediment samples using a grab (see Chapter 5 on visual surveys).

Environmental monitoring will also cover investigations of radioactivity. Plans for this are prepared by the operators in consultation with the Norwegian Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority.

1.5 Quality assurance

Quality assurance and control is essential for the success of the environmental monitoring. Quality assurance should be anchored with both consultant and oil company. The consultant’s quality assurance system (QA-system) should be presented in the tender and required in the final report. Operators must assess the quality/suitability of this system continuously and
discuss this with the Agency when needed, for example in connection with the annual planning meetings.

The QA-system should include a verification of sampling, a plan for using reference samples, reviewing analytical methods and results and performing the quality control of the report. A standard QA-system must be used, for example ISO 9000 or CEMP 2002-15. Quality assurance of the various analyses, both in terms of type and frequency, should be presented as part of the method description in the report. There is a minimum requirement that analyses are verified against reference samples run in the same test series as the real samples. The results from the reference samples must be discussed in the report from the monitoring surveys.

Quality control of the methods applied in connection with the monitoring, for example by means of external calibration, blind testing or use of reference samples, must be carried out when possible.

All suppliers of services for monitoring programs (analyses, fieldwork) must have EN ISO/IEC 17025 accreditation, or an equivalent for the methods they use, whenever an accreditation scheme is available. Service suppliers must also document their own quality assurance and control routines. The latest and valid version of the method standards and guidelines must be used, and reference must be made to the year when these standards were established when reporting from monitoring surveys.
2. Definitions and abbreviations

Alkylphenols
phenols with varying carbon chain and structure. Alkylphenols are naturally found in crude oil and are water-soluble, so they are discharged together with produced water from the oil industry.

Background levels:
Concentrations of selected parameters (hydrocarbons, metals, radioactive substances) at the regional stations in each region. They should be as close as possible to the natural concentrations in the area.

Baseline survey:
The first environmental survey in an area or locality to obtain information on its chemical and biological status before a new activity starts.

Biological impact:
Found in an area if the fauna in a sample is significantly different from that at comparable regional stations in the same region. Calculations of biological impact are based on an overall evaluation of all the statistical analyses carried out on the biological material.

CEMP (Coordinated Environmental Monitoring Programme):
an international program run by OSPAR with the goal of providing comparable data from all OSPAR marine areas. The program develops common guidelines for planning, implementation, analysis and reporting (formerly known as JAMP guidelines).

Chemical contamination:
Present in areas where the levels of the selected metals, radioactive substances and/or hydrocarbons are significantly higher than the expected background level (see the latter and LSC).

Diversity
measurement of species diversity in a benthic community, here as a function of the number of species and how specimens are distributed among these species. Described by diversity indices.

DP system (dynamic positioning system):
Computer-controlled system that automatically ensures the maintenance of the vessel’s position, orientation, and possibly also speed. Positioning is done by using the vessel’s own propulsion and positioning systems. Classified as DP1, DP2 or DP3 system according to IMO’s standards for accuracy and redundancy (DP3 is the best, but DP1 is most often sufficient for visual surveys).

Grab sampler load:
One sediment load collected by a grab sampler.
Installation:
All field development solutions for oil and gas production on the Norwegian continental shelf, including platforms, subsea structures, and floating production units.

Juvenile:
young, sexually immature specimens.

Kurtosis:
a measure of how peaked or flat the distribution of data is relative to a normal distribution. High kurtosis indicates that the data distribution has a narrower peak than expected for a normal distribution. Used in evaluating grain size distribution.

LSC (Limit of significant contamination)
a statistically calculated limit for chemical contamination, based on background levels from regional/subregional stations.

Macrofauna
Organisms larger than 1 mm (i.e. that are retained on a 1 mm sieve).

Megafauna
Organisms larger than 20 cm.

Meiofauna
Organisms in the size range of 0.063-1 mm. The term generally refers to specific groups of organisms (foraminifera, nematodes, harpacticoid copepods, etc.).

MOD (Environmental Monitoring Database):
A database containing data from the environmental monitoring programmes for the Norwegian continental shelf. It can be accessed at http://mod.dnvgl.com/.

Multivariate analyses
Statistical analyses that handle more than one variable in the same analysis and look for trends across several dimensions at once.

NPD
The sum of naphthalene, phenanthrene, dibenzothiophene and their C1-, C2- and C3 alkyl homologues.

PAHs (Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons)
All hydrocarbons in which the molecule contains two or more aromatic rings (see appendix III).

Plankton
Organisms that spend all or part of their life cycle floating or drifting in the water and that have little or no independent mobility.

Radial transects
Preferred station design around an installation. It consists of two axes placed perpendicularly
on each other and the installation at the origin, with the main axis in the prevailing current direction in the area.

**Region**
A delimited area of the continental shelf defined by geographical coordinates. The boundary towards the shore follows the coastal baseline.

**ROV**
Remotely Operated underwater Vehicle carrying a video camera, which can often be equipped with extra gear such as sonar, sensors, a manipulator arm and sampling equipment.

**Satellites:**
Installations producing towards a mother platform, mainly underwater structures.

**THC (Total hydrocarbon content)**
Content of all hydrocarbons in the material within a particular range of carbon chain lengths (n-C12 - n-C35), both those formed biologically and those originating from oil and other sources of pollution.

**TOC**
Total organic carbon (applies to sediment).

**TN:**
Abbreviation for total nitrogen in sediment.

**Transect:**
A continuous axis in the field-specific station network.

**Transponder:**
Equipment used in underwater acoustic navigation. Mounted on the ROV and communicates with transceiver / HIPAP system so that the position of the ROV can be displayed in a mapping software and logged.

**UCM-fraction (Unresolved Complex Mixture)**
Includes components in that fraction of the produced water that are not identified.

**USBL system (Ultra Short Baseline):**
Method for acoustic positioning most frequently used on offshore vessels where a transceiver mounted under the vessel communicates with a transponder mounted on ROVs.

**Water column**
The marine environment from the water surface to the surface of the sediment.
3. Water column monitoring

The monitoring of the water column shall document whether and to what degree marine organisms in Norwegian waters are impacted by pollution generated by offshore petroleum activities, no matter whether the source of the discharges is produced water with its complex composition, old drill cuttings piles, leaks from the seafloor/old wells or other relevant discharges. Unless otherwise agreed upon, the monitoring must as a minimum include oceanographic measurements, analysis of chemical parameters and investigations of organisms placed in cages and of wild caught organisms.

The water column monitoring consisted previously of yearly surveys (called condition monitoring and effect monitoring). Since 2015 the Agency has requested that a more comprehensive field study be conducted every three years. This may entail that a wider area with several installations is covered or/and that several analytical parameters are included.

As part of the environmental monitoring regime, the operators are expected to contribute to developing improved methods for monitoring of the water column, cf. Section 52 of the Activity Regulations. The period between two field studies shall be used to develop and qualify new methodology (see Chapter 3.9).

The Agency may require further investigations of the water column, both with regard to the environmental condition and the effects of discharges in the fields' surrounding areas, cf. sections 52 and 55 of the Activity Regulations.

3.1 Programme for the field surveys

Drafting a programme

Operators for the area where monitoring is planned are responsible for drafting programmes for the field survey monitoring of the water column. The programme must be based on the requirements of the Activities Regulations, on the instructions given in these guidelines, on results from previous surveys as well as the environmental risk and discharge status at the filed/region to be investigated. Deviations from existing requirements must be stated and justified.

The program proposal for water column monitoring shall be submitted to the Agency no later than February 1st the year the field survey is to be conducted, The Agency, together with its advisory group, relevant operators and NOROG get together and discuss the programme proposal. The operators make the necessary revisions and submit the final program by April 1st of the same year, cf. section 34 of the Management Regulations.

Content of the program for field surveys

The monitoring program for the field surveys shall contain descriptions of the area(s) to be monitored. The choice of areas must be justified. Assessments of the discharge components, discharge spreading area, the recipient's conditions and the environmental risk associated with the discharges shall be documented in the program.

The program shall also give information about:

- The planned station design and analytical programme (kap. 3.2)
- Description of the species planned to be used/investigated (kap. 3.3)
3.2 Planning of the field surveys

Monitoring period and frequency

Field surveys must be scheduled in a most suitable period in terms of spawning status for mussels and the fish species expected to be found in the investigated area(s). Furthermore, the field surveys shall be carried out at the best possible time considering:

- relevant local conditions, for example vertical density stratification in the water column
- practical and logistical considerations
- facilitating, if reasonable, comparison of results if surveys take place in the same area more than once

In accordance with NS 9434 Water quality - Monitoring of environmental contaminants in blue mussel (Mytilus spp.) - Collection of caged or native mussels and sample treatment and CEMP Guidelines for Monitoring Contaminants in Biota (OSPAR Agreement 1999-2), exposure of mussels should take place outside their spawning period. Mussels to be used in the field studies in the water column monitoring must have gonads at an early stage of development, so that the likelihood of the mussels spawning during the exposure period is low. If purchased from farms that keep mussels in various stages of development, mussels that are not expected to spawn during the exposure period must be used. It is also not desirable to use mussels that have spawned just before they are used for monitoring purposes.

Survey design

The field survey must include at least one suitable reference area both when using instrument rigs/mussel cages and when investigating wild caught organisms.

The positioning of the rigs equipped with monitoring instruments (among others passive samplers and caged organisms) in the target area must be chosen based on knowledge of the physical characteristics of the area and on dispersal models for relevant discharge components, including added chemicals. The operator must ensure that consultants carrying out the survey are provided with relevant information on production and discharges at the field(s) being investigated, including information about discharge components, volume of the discharges and DREAM modelling data for the produced water plume.

The number and position of the instrument rigs are to be chosen so that the field surveys provide the best possible picture of the environmental situation in the selected area(s). This may mean 15 stations or more, depending on the number of installations and the size of the surveyed area.

The number of rigs to be deployed and where in the water column they are to be placed depends to a large degree on the local conditions in the investigated area(s), but relevant planning advice is given in OSPAR Agreement 1999-2 and in NS 9434. Mussels are to be deployed for a period of 6 weeks. Another exposure length can be accepted by the Agency if there are special considerations, but these need to be documented and justified in the draft program.
3.3 Organisms to be monitored

Wild caught fish
Collection of wild caught organisms must be based on updated information on the occurrence, distribution and migration patterns of relevant fish stocks in the area(s).

The Agency requires the collection and analysis of tissues from 30 (+/- 5) individuals of at least three different fish species from the monitoring area and the reference area. Availability of the different fish species will vary widely with location and season, but the same species must be examined in both the monitoring and reference areas in one and the same field study. Relevant species are cod, haddock, pollock, flatfish (dab or long rough dab), tusk and ling. Fish used for sampling should be within a relatively narrow size range for both sexes, to reduce variation in measured endpoints. For further information and recommendations on collection and species selection, see OSPAR Agreement 1999-2 and JAMP Guidelines on Contaminant-Specific Biological Effects Monitoring (OSPAR Agreement 2008-09).

Blue mussels for caging
The Agency requires deployment of blue mussels in cages for monitoring of exposure and effects. The deployment should be in accordance with NS 9434. *Mytilus edulis* should be the dominant species in such deployment studies.

It is important to document that the mussels to be deployed are free of known diseases and outside active spawning. Pre-exposure samples must be taken from the mussels to determine their pollution status, cf. NS 9434. Prior to deployment, the mussels should be acclimatized in clean water with the same temperature and salinity as in the area they will be deployed in.

Food availability for the caged mussels must be documented, especially if these are placed deep in the water column. Food availability must be documented both at monitoring stations and at reference stations.

3.4 Sample collection and processing

Biological samples must be collected, handled and preserved in accordance with OSPAR Agreement 1999-2, OSPAR Agreement 2008-09 and NS 9434. Working surfaces used for sample processing must be clean, and the samples must be handled in such a way as to minimise the risk of sample contamination on board the vessel.

Fish to be used for analyses must be kept alive until samples are taken for biochemical, physiological or histological analyzes.

The possibility to carry out analyses blindly using sample anonymization must be facilitated where necessary.

---

1 In previous versions of the guidelines at least 90% of the population was required to be *M. edulis*. This proved to be difficult to achieve. The proportion of *M. edulis* was 88% in WCM2012 and 82% in WCM2015. Therefore from 2020 we recommend following NS 9434 which also states that *M. edulis* should be the dominant species.
3.5 Analytical parameters

Oceanographic parameters
The field survey shall include the following measurements:

- conductivity, temperature and density (CTD) on a sufficient number of stations so that conductivity, temperature and salinity vertically in the water column are documented for the area. To be measured as a minimum when deploying and retrieving the cages.
- current direction and speed from at least two stations no more than 1000 meters away from the platform
- temperature at all stations, continually.

Chemical parameters measured in the recipient
Passive samplers shall as a minimum be used to analyse the content of THC, PAH, NPD and alkylphenols (AP).

Passive samplers must be used at all stations with caged organisms to quantify exposure of these organisms. Passive samplers shall monitor dispersal of pollution/discharges to the environment and to validate dispersal models. Deployment of passive samplers for these purposes shall include sampling at several stations and at several depths.

Chemical analyses of the biological material are described in the following section.

Parameters measured in biological material
The Agency expects that the monitoring as a minimum includes analysis of the parameters provided in Table 3-1 for mussels and Table 3-2 for fish.

Chemical analyses are to be done by pooling four samples from mussels at each station. Effect analyses are to be done on minimum 15 mussels from each station. As mentioned above, effect parameters and metabolites should be investigated on 30 fish from each species and from each area.

Performing analyses blindly shall be facilitated where appropriately, in addition to MN-scoring, cf. tables 3-1 and 3-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3-1: Parameters to be analyzed in mussels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size and condition (CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speciation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3-1: Parameters to be analyzed in mussels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Type of tissue/matrix</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reproductive maturity &amp; spawning status</td>
<td>Whole mussel, internal organs, gonad products (histology sample to be taken as transverse incision)</td>
<td>A histological sample must be taken of all individuals being tested. Maturity and spawning status must be validated after the survey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health status</td>
<td>Whole mussel</td>
<td>«stress on stress»</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAH</td>
<td>Soft tissue</td>
<td>GC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals (Hg, Pb, Cd, Ba)</td>
<td>Soft tissue</td>
<td>ICP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micronuclei (MN) Chromosome damage</td>
<td>Non-granular haemocytes. Alternatively, cells extracted from enzymatically treated gill tissue.</td>
<td>Manual or automatic quantification of micronuclei formation. Manual MN-scoring must be performed blindly by anonymizing and randomizing samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysosomal membrane stability (LMS)</td>
<td>Digestive gland</td>
<td>Histology of cryostat sections of the digestive gland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3-2: Parameters to be analyzed in fish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Type of tissue /matrix</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Whole fish</td>
<td>Weight, length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Whole fish and gonads</td>
<td>Macroscopic assessment, possibly Lupe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Otolith</td>
<td>Microscopical assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver somatic index (LSI)</td>
<td>Whole fish and liver</td>
<td>Liver weight/body weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonad somatic index (GSI)</td>
<td>Whole fish and gonads</td>
<td>Gonad weight/body weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition index (CI)</td>
<td>Whole fish</td>
<td>Weight/length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAH/NPD</td>
<td>Liver&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>GC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration of PAH metabolites</td>
<td>Bile</td>
<td>GC-MS/LC-FD Stomach content shall be registered (full/empty)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>2</sup> Previously were concentrations of NPD / PAH analyzed in the fish fillet out of food safety considerations. However, concentrations have been found to be very low, and rarely above the detection limit. In 2020 the requirement was therefore changed to apply to liver. This is an important support parameter for bile metabolites.
### Table 3-2: Parameters to be analyzed in fish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Type of tissue /matrix</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tissue changes, including lysosomal changes</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Histology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP1A1-induction</td>
<td>Liver S9 or microsomes</td>
<td>EROD-activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CYP1A ELISA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>qPCR: AH-receptor gene and CYP1A1-gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA damage</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>DNA adducts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>DNA strand breaks (comet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome damage</td>
<td>Red blood cells</td>
<td>Manual or automatic quantification of micronuclei formation. Manual MN-scoring must be performed blindly by anonymizing and randomizing samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylcholine esterase (AChE) inhibition</td>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>AChE-activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.6 Quality control

Requirements regarding quality assurance are given in Chapter 1.5.

### 3.7 Statistical analysis of data

As a minimum, the following analyses must be carried out:
- comparisons of endpoints measured at all stations against T0 (pre-exposure measurements)
- comparisons of endpoints measured at monitoring stations against reference stations
- comparison of endpoints against the exposure

Consultant must be sure to check for any effects of temperature, gender, size, age, food access, and more on the results. These are variables that can influence the measured response of each organism.

Data collected during the field surveys of the water column monitoring have traditionally been analysed using ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U Test. The Agency recommends identifying other statistical methods that can contribute to better data utilization and a clearer environmental status at the investigated area(s). There may come clearer requirements on statistical analysis in later editions of these guidelines.

### 3.8 Reporting

A quality assured draft report from the field survey together with associated analysis results shall be submitted to the Agency no later than April 1st the year after the survey was carried out, cf. section 34 of the Management Regulations. The Agency and the Agency’s expert group will evaluate the report. Any potential comments to the report will be sent by the end of June.
from the evaluation must be answered. The deadline for delivering the final report is October 1st. the year after the survey was carried out, cf. section 34 of the Management Regulations.

The Agency must be informed as soon as possible if during the investigations, or during the preparation of the tests, results are found that entail significant deviations from the expected environmental condition or trend, cf. section 34 letter a of the Management Regulations.

The target group for the report includes oil and gas companies, environmental authorities, research institutions and consultancy firms. The survey and results must be presented in such a way that they also make sense for professionals who have not participated in the actual monitoring.

The report is to be submitted electronically. Final reports from conducted field surveys/monitoring are published on [www.miljodirektoratet.no](http://www.miljodirektoratet.no) once the Agency has approved them. Results from the field surveys are presented at the annual Forum for Offshore Environmental Monitoring.

All unprocessed data and results shall always be available be for the Agency, preferably in a central database and in appendices to the report.

**Contents of the report**

The final scientific report shall contain a complete documentation of the completed survey, focusing on:

- sampling design / field activities
- analytical parameters
- analytical methods and quality assurance
- results and conclusions of the survey
- main trends in the region or sampling area (if data is available)
- issues to be given priority in future monitoring

A description of method development work carried out in connection with the field survey, as well as information on when the results are expected to be available must be included in the report.

**Summary**

The summary shall not exceed five pages and must be submitted both in Norwegian and English. The target group for the summary is the same as for the main report, but also the general public. We expect therefore that the summary is clear and reader friendly.

A summary must include the following:

- a brief description of the goals of the survey;
- a short description of the field work;
- a short description of any deviations from the program that may affect the results;
- the main results and discussions of these;
- main trends and comparison with any relevant earlier surveys;
- conclusions and recommendations.

**Introduction**

The following shall be described:

- a description of the area(s) to be investigated, including maps with visible map scale and depth contours showing the position of the monitoring stations and installation(s);
• discharge history at the investigated platform(s), if possible, with concentrations of the different components in the discharge and other activities that may have affected chemical and biological conditions at the time of the survey;
• earlier surveys
• goals and priorities for the field survey in question, in relation with the program for the water column monitoring.
• reasons for the choice of sampling areas and sampling stations.

Methods
The methods section shall include:
• information on the origin of organisms used in the field survey and how they were treated prior to field deployment;
• description of the completed field work, including the period, number of stations/instrument rigs, and any deviations from the proposed program, with reasons;
• brief description of the laboratory procedures for physical, chemical and biological analyses, including description of any deviations, with reasons, and an evaluation of whether/how results are affected;
• information on where and how the processed samples are stored, with a contact person able to provide access on demand;
• information on how and where the unprocessed data is stored, with a contact person able to provide access on demand;
• information on quality assurance routines in the field and in the lab, including accreditation status and any documented participation in intercalibration exercises for relevant methods;
• description of statistical methods used, including reasons for choosing them.

Results and discussion
This chapter presents and discusses the results of the survey.

The observations and results obtained for all the parameters analysed shall be described. This includes background levels in test organisms before deployment in cages. Other characteristics of the station or sampling area of significance for the result discussion should also be presented.

As a minimum, the following results must be presented:
• comparison of results between stations and between stations and background levels. Stations with significantly elevated values must be highlighted.
• results must be presented as a function of available information about exposure, e.g. measured PAH values in biological material and simulated or measured concentrations in the water column.

The results of the surveys must be assessed and discussed in the light of previous environmental conditions and discharge history at the current field(s).

The questions listed below shall be discussed:
• What is the significance of the detected biological responses?
• How do biological responses correspond with exposure parameters and with gradients in natural and anthropogenic environmental variables?
• How do the results relate to those of earlier surveys in the same area, if any?
• How do the results relate to those of relevant surveys of nearby areas?
• Do the results reflect the discharge history in the area(s)?
Overall evaluation and conclusions
To the extent that the available data allow it, the chapter shall contain concluding considerations on the state of the environmental condition and development at the individual field(s) and in the region.

The report shall provide both a detailed and a comprehensive interpretation of the results from the field survey with the intention to describe to which degree the organisms included in the study have accumulated hydrocarbons or other petroleum-related substances and whether they show evidence of exposure and/or stress from the discharges in the area.

The report should also provide an assessment of the significance of biological responses for the individual and the population in time and space.

Recommendation for future monitoring
Any recommendations for changes in the design of future field surveys must be described in the report.

Any recommendations for further development of methods in the periods between two field surveys should be included in the final report from the field survey, see chapter 3.8.

Appendices
The report must include the following appendices:

- the final monitoring program decided upon in cooperation with the Agency;
- survey report (field logs) with date, time, position (GMS and UTM, and which reference grid is used; grid zone must be specified), depth, number of samples and weather conditions presented in table format for each station or area(s) being investigated;
- analysis report including tables with analytical data;
- any documentation on accreditation;
- documentation on control results.

3.9 Further development and validation of methods
The operators shall, as part of the environmental monitoring, contribute to developing new methods for monitoring the water column, cf. § 55 in the Activities Regulations.

There is still a need for development of water column monitoring methods because the range of qualified methods is currently very limited and new methods can help to strengthen the monitoring. The period between two field studies must be used for testing, further development and qualification of methodology.

The Agency considers the method development program as an ongoing program where new projects come in and may take several years to complete. Different stages of a development program may be literature studies, lab studies and field studies. Final reports from the various development projects will be reviewed when revising the method requirements in these guidelines.

3.9.1 Topics for further development
The Agency will give priority to developing/qualifying methodology in order to:
• monitor several representative species with different ecological functions and from several trophic levels
• gather more information on effects by means of other endpoints/biomarkers for effect
• gather more information on pollution from added chemicals, both in terms of dispersal and effects
• gather more information on potential sedimentation of components in produced water
• gather better exposure information, including logging of hydrocarbon concentrations by means of fluorescence
• gather information on potential effects of naphthenic acids
• gather information on potential effects of the UCM-fraction.

Appendix I lists identified methods that may be relevant for the water column monitoring, but which need further development and validation before they can be used. The appendix provides information on the status of the follow-up of the methods identified as relevant for water column monitoring. Examples of follow-up status may be "literature study initiated", "lab trial initiated" or "field study". The Agency's intention is to update this appendix regularly.

3.9.2 Criteria for method validation

Before a new method can be used in field surveys, the following should as a minimum be done:

• An assessment of appropriateness and suitability of the method being considered (including sensitivity and assessment of species differences)
• Adequate qualification under controlled laboratory conditions. For biological endpoints this will involve exposure to real or man-made produced water, specific components in the produced water, or to extracts of real produced water.
• Qualification of new methods in the lab must include an assessment of the relevant exposure period necessary.
• A verification in field conditions. This can be done in relation to regular fieldwork carried out for monitoring purposes.

The Agency does not require publication of results in scientific papers as part of the method validation, but we expect the work carried out in development projects to hold a similar standard.

3.9.3 Program for method development work

Operators on NCS must submit a description of potential new projects in the method development program, and the status of ongoing projects by February 1st, cf. 3.9.4.

The Agency arranges annual planning meetings where the proposals are discussed together with operators, NOROG and the Agency's advisory expert group. Operators review the program in accordance with the conclusions from the meeting and submit the final work program for the current year to the Agency. Appendix I is updated based on the final program for method development.

Contents of program proposals for method development

The method development program shall contain descriptions of submitted project proposals, the operators' evaluations of these and the operators' proposals for candidates to be financed.

Descriptions of submitted project proposals shall include the following:

• The goal of the projects: what issues shall be addressed?
- Relevance to the water column monitoring: what is the knowledge status, what knowledge gaps will the project fill, how will the new knowledge be used in the water column monitoring? Is it a completely new method/concept?
- Implementation plan: what experiments, what methods, what species/life stages, etc. Schedule. When will the results be available? If one ends up with a new or improved method: when will it ready to be used in the water column monitoring?

3.9.4 Reporting

Operators shall report from the method development projects as they report from the field surveys.

Status reporting underway in multi-year projects takes place in connection with the preparation of a program for method development work, cf. 3.9.3. For this type of reporting we require a brief description of:

- results so far,
- further work,
- brief status update for the table in Appendix I.

The final reports from the various development projects shall give a clear recommendation on whether the method should be rejected, needs further qualification and what the next step would be, or whether the method can be implemented in the field surveys. Furthermore, issues from the planning phase must be answered. If the method is recommended to be implemented, the recommended protocol for use in the water column monitoring, including a description of quality assurance, must be attached.

Final reporting from development projects is done as soon as possible after the projects are completed.
4. Monitoring of benthic habitats (grab sampling surveys)

In soft bottom areas the surveys will consist of grab sampling of the seabed before exploration drilling in particular cases (see chapter 4.2), before and during production drilling and production, and after decommissioning of the field. Sediment composition, chemical parameters and soft bottom fauna are to be investigated.

The Norwegian continental shelf is divided into eleven geographical regions for monitoring of benthic habitats (see Figure 4.1). As a general rule, each region is surveyed every third year with surveys alternating between regions each year (see Table 4-1). The scope of the monitoring programmes must be proportional to the level of offshore activity in the region. Monitoring of new activities is additional to and must be adapted to existing monitoring activities. If large variations in depth and/or type of sediment indicate that it is necessary, regions should be divided into subregions. The subregional divisions established in regions that have already been surveyed should not be changed without good reason.

Figure 4-1. Overview of regions to be used for offshore environmental monitoring of benthic habitats
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region/field</th>
<th>Year for planned regional survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>North Sea</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Ekofisk-region</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brynhild², Ekofisk, Eldfisk, Embla, Gyda, Hod, Oselvar², Tambar, Tor, Trym, Ula, Valhall, Yme.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II Sleipner -region</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alvheim, Atla, Balder, Byggve, Bøyla, Edvard Grieg, Gaupe², Gina Krog, Grane, Gudrun, Gungne, Hanz, Heimdal, Ivar Aasen, Jette², Johan Sverdrup, Jotun², Ringhorne Øst, Sigrun, Skirne, Skogul, Sleipner Øst og Vest, Solveig, Svalin, Utgard, Vale, Varg, Vilje, Volund, Volve.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III Oseberg -region</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brage, Fram, Fram H-Nord, Huldra², Martin Linge, Oda, Oseberg, Oseberg Sør og Øst, Troll, Tune, Veslefrikk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV Statfjord-region</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Norwegian Sea</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V Møre-region</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ormen Lange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI Haltenbanken-region</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alve, Bauge, Draugen, Fenja, Heidrun, Hyme, Kristin, Maria, Marulk, Mikkel, Morvin, Njord, Norne, Skarv, Skuld, Trestakk, Tyrihans, Urud, Yttergryta, Ærfugl, Åsgard.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII Nordland-region</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astaa Hansteen, Snefrid Nord</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII Troms region</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Barents Sea</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX Finnmark region</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snøhvit, Goliat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Barents Sea South</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johan Castberg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI Barents Sea North</td>
<td>North of 75 ˚N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹[www.norskpetroleum.no](http://www.norskpetroleum.no) per January 2020 Ministry of Petroleum and Energy.  
²Shut down.
4.1 Time frame

Program

Deadlines for the submission of draft programmes for baseline surveys are established together with the Agency on a case-to-case basis.

Draft programmes for monitoring surveys of benthic habitats must be submitted to the authorities by 1st February of the year in which the surveys are to be carried out, see section 54 of the Activities Regulations. Final programs should be submitted to the Agency by 1st April, cf. section 34 of the Management Regulations, and latest two weeks before field work starts.

Execution

Fieldwork in connection with monitoring of sediments and soft-bottom fauna should be carried out in the period 1st May–15th June in regions I–VIII (58–70°N). For regions IX–XI (North of 70°N) the period is extended until 1st July. The reason behind this is to avoid capture of juvenile stages.

In special cases, operators may carry out sampling at other times, but this must be well justified in the programme submitted to the authorities.

Reporting

The deadline for delivering verified draft reports from baseline surveys and regional monitoring surveys to the Agency is 1st April of the year after the surveys were carried out. The Agency and the Agency’s expert group will evaluate the reports. Comments to the reports will be sent by 15th June. The evaluation comments must be answered. The deadline for delivering final reports is 1st October, cf. section 34 of the Management Regulations. The reports will then be made available on the Agency’s website before the “Forum for offshore environmental monitoring” takes place.

If results obtained during the surveys or sample processing deviate substantially from the expected status or trend, this must immediately be reported to the Agency.

4.2 Survey frequency and sampling design

Baseline surveys

Baseline surveys are part of the benthic habitat monitoring and consist of taking samples of the seabed, describing the sediment composition, analysing the samples for heavy metals and oil compounds, as well as describing the composition and condition of the soft bottom fauna. In areas defined as vulnerable or where the presence of vulnerable species or habitats is probable visual surveys are required in addition to traditional monitoring (see chapter 5).

The scope of baseline surveys should be shaped by the need to obtain new knowledge.
The purpose of baseline surveys is to establish background data for the various parameters, as well as a 'robust' average value that will represent the basis for a local LSC (Limit of Significant Contamination).

Section 53 of the Activities Regulations requires baseline surveys to be carried out:

- before exploration drilling in new and previously unsurveyed areas (depending on existing knowledge about the characteristics of the seabed and of the benthic fauna in the area);
- before exploration drilling in areas where vulnerable species and habitats have been shown to exist, or where their existence is probable;
- before production drilling.

Regional stations must be established as soon as possible and latest by the time production drilling starts.

A baseline survey is valid for six years, unless the Agency decides otherwise after consultation with the Agency. Operators must contact the Agency if they’re unsure whether conducting a baseline survey in connection with new activity is required cf. Activities Regulations § 53. Operators must have and provide an overview of existing monitoring data for the area of interest and state why they do not consider that a baseline survey is necessary. The area(s) in question should be well investigated, and information that vulnerable benthic fauna is not present in the area must be made available in order for the Agency to be able to grant an exemption.

**Field-specific surveys**

In general, the same survey frequency is required for all types of fields and developments.

- Monitoring of a field starts with the first regional survey for the relevant region.
- After the first survey, field-specific monitoring surveys are as a general rule conducted every three years, as part of the regional monitoring surveys. Changes in the frequency of field-specific surveys must receive prior approval from the authorities.
- After the end of the production phase, two more field-specific surveys are required at three-year intervals. The possibility to add stations as close as possible to the installation’s former position should be considered.
- The need for further monitoring of a field after this, is assessed by the competent authority.

The scope of field-specific surveys must reflect the results of previous surveys and the level of activity and discharges registered on the field in question. This means that certain stations or analyses may be omitted, and new ones included in consultation with the Agency. The final scope of these surveys is decided during annual planning meetings as described in chapter 1.3.

**Regional surveys**

The Norwegian continental shelf has been divided into eleven geographical regions for the regional monitoring of benthic habitats (see Figure 4.1). Table 4.1 provides further details on the location of each region; which fields are included in each region and the schedule for
regional surveys. Benthic habitats in each region should as a general rule be surveyed every three years. A regional survey includes both the regional and the field-specific stations in the region.

Samples from the regional and field-specific stations in one region are to be taken during the same survey. The regional stations are intended to provide information on general background levels in the area for the parameters that are monitored and to function as reference stations for the expected normal situation. The field-specific stations are intended to provide information on the environmental status near the facilities in each region. Samples should primarily be analysed for petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, naturally occurring radioactive substances, as well as sediment and fauna composition.

4.3 Station network

The monitoring of benthic habitats has both a local and a regional focus. Within each region, the objective of field-specific monitoring is to reveal any impacts of individual installations on the surrounding area, while a set of regional stations is intended to reflect normal benthic conditions in the region and make it possible to detect whether oil and gas activities have more widespread impacts in the region. Previously established reference stations, where no impacts have yet been detected, must from now on be classified as regional stations. All changes in regional stations must be corrected in environmental monitoring database (MOD).

The locations of regional stations must be coordinated with the locations of the field-specific stations in the same region. The positioning of both types of stations must be based on information about:

- depth and topography;
- currents and dispersal patterns in the area in question;
- sediment characteristics and sedimentation patterns;
- discharge history of the fields;
- pipelines and other installations on the fields.

It is the operators’ responsibility to make use of this information to revise a station network or establish a new one. Data on currents must cover a range of depths and the different seasons of the year. It is particularly important to obtain data for the depths immediately above the seabed as well as those where discharges are planned/expected. Further elements to be considered when selecting either field-specific or regional stations are described below.

4.3.1 Selection of stations for baseline surveys

Regional stations

When a first regional survey is to be carried out, a representative selection of at least 10 regional stations should be established to provide a general picture of background benthic conditions in the region. The regional stations should therefore be located in areas that are not expected to be affected by discharges from the offshore oil and gas industry, either at the time or later. The Agency, in agreement with the Institute of Marine Research in Norway, considers that several of the stations used by MAREANO can be used as future regional stations. A new regional station must be established if a regional station proves to be affected by a later field development.
The following elements should be taken into consideration when positioning regional stations:

- they should cover all the main types of seabed (sand, clay, etc.), with main emphasis on sedimentation areas;
- if the water depth in the region varies, the stations should be located in such a way that typical depth intervals can be described;
- the stations should cover all parts of the region where there are field developments or where developments are expected.

When a baseline survey is carried out before production starts on a field, a minimum of three of the regional stations should be associated with the field in question. For a baseline survey carried out before an exploration drilling it may be enough to include only one regional station. These stations should be as representative as possible of background conditions on the field. They should be reasonably close to the oil or gas field in question and have similar sediment type and depth. If necessary, more regional stations can be established near the field/exploration drilling location area for this purpose. The same regional stations must be used from year to year both in the baseline survey and for later monitoring surveys of the field. Results from the regional stations are to be used as reference values for assessing possible effects observed at nearby field-specific stations.

Field-specific stations

Field-specific stations for a baseline survey before production drilling should preferably be established using a radial transect design that is expected to be permanent for subsequent monitoring surveys of the field. The stations are to be placed at increasing distances from the discharge point (according to the geometric series 250 m, 500 m, 1000 m, 2000 m, etc). Transect length with increasing distance from the discharge point should be decided upon on a case-to-case basis. Stations less than 250 m from the installations should be established if practically possible and acceptable in terms of safety. If the final position of the oil or gas field centre has yet not been determined, a grid design may be used for station positioning across the field. A system with three parallel transects placed 1 km apart, with three to four stations in each transect is often used.

If the geographical characteristics of a field development indicate that a radial transect design will not be optimal, another design may be selected and used in subsequent monitoring surveys. The operator should inform on the reasons for doing this, and the station network should be designed in agreement with the Agency. The stations should cover as much as possible of the entire area that will later be included in the monitoring programme. The orientation and surface of the station network should be determined based on the expected area of influence estimated with the help of prognoses on discharge quantities and dispersal modelling (using the same assumptions as the EIA carried out for the field).

The operator should be able to document the reasons for the selected station positioning, based for example on water current patterns, depth intervals etc. The stations should be located so that it is possible to determine the degree to which benthic habitats are affected by discharges from the oil or gas field. Each station must be given a unique designation consisting of a maximum of seven characters (including both letters and numbers). The same designation must be used on maps, in tables and in the text. If a station is later moved by more than 50 m, it must receive a new designation. Any such changes must be specified in
the report and the station history must be shown in a table. These changes must also be recorded in MOD.

During a baseline survey before production drilling, samples should be taken from a minimum of three regional stations (including existing stations. These stations are expected to become the regional stations associated with the field in subsequent monitoring surveys.

It can be difficult to maintain a radial transect or grid design of the stations when carrying out baseline surveys in deep water (>500 metres). In such cases, the stations should be positioned as optimally as possible in relation to the discharge pattern, expected dispersal patterns and benthic conditions.

In connection with baseline surveys before exploration drilling one should follow the rule of establishing a radial transect if the drilling location is known, or a grid design if the drilling location is not known. The number of stations may depend on depth, seabed conditions and proximity to other fields / formerly investigated areas. The number of stations may often be reduced but must be brought back to the standard number when conducting a baseline survey before production drilling.

4.3.2 Selection of stations for follow-up monitoring surveys

After drilling and discharges to the sea have started, the station network used for the first monitoring survey of a field should as a general rule be the same as that used for the baseline survey. However, depending on the overall monitoring activity in the region in question, certain stations may be omitted, and new ones added in consultation with competent authorities. The monitoring programme should reflect the discharge pattern on the examined field. To make it possible to compare results between years, the positions of specific stations should not be changed. The deviation in position should not exceed ±50 meters. If a station is later moved by more than 50 m, it must receive a new designation. Any such changes must be specified in the report and the station history must be shown in a table. These changes must also be recorded in MOD.

If it is difficult to collect representative samples at a station, for example due to high content of stones, sponge spicules or the like, the person responsible for the survey may decide to leave the station without taking samples. Any such deviations must be described in the monitoring report (see chapter 6.1 under methods). If necessary, authorities may also require the establishment of new stations in transitional zones between oil and gas fields.

Regional stations

As a general rule, a regional monitoring survey must include all the established regional stations. In new regions where there are few fields to be monitored, regional surveys may in special cases be limited to the regional stations that are associated with fields. A gradual reduction of the number of stations originally established as reference stations may also be considered in areas where fields are being closed down.

In the case of regional stations, all replicates of the chemical samples should be analyzed for calculating LSC. This should be done at least during three surveys (ca. 10 samples).
Field specific stations

When a final decision is made regarding the location of the installation(s) and discharge points, a permanent network of monitoring stations can be established using the baseline survey as a starting point.

In the case of single installations, a radial transect design should preferably be used, with one axis along the prevailing direction of current flow just above the seabed and the other perpendicular to this. This is the preferred design even in cases where a grid pattern was used for the baseline survey. In such cases, as many stations as possible from the grid should be retained. In the case of a complex field development (many subsea installations, for example) it may be necessary to deviate from this design, as stations must be located in a way that makes it possible to monitor the scale of the impacts of the installations. Most of the stations should be located downstream of the installations with respect to the prevailing current direction. One of the two radial transects should run North-South if no prevailing current direction can be identified.

Regardless of whether a grid or radial transect design is used, the station network should always include at least one station in each of the four main directions, even if there is no indication of chemical contamination or biological impact on the field. If the chemical contamination (for Ba 2xLSC is used as the limit) or biological impact on a field extends beyond the outermost stations in the network, new stations must be established outside these for the next monitoring survey. The new stations should be placed along the axes, at geometrically increasing distances. If later surveys (after the baseline survey and first follow-up monitoring survey) show elevated values for any of the parameters analysed at the first two stations downstream of the discharge point/installation, chemical analyses should as a minimum be carried out at all the innermost stations (along the other three radial transects) in addition to the three downstream stations. Analyses of THC and Ba (or the equivalent weighting agent) should be performed at all stations.

The scope of the monitoring surveys in each region and each field should reflect the level of activity, discharge history, and the results of the previous survey. As a general rule, the outermost stations sampled should always be unaffected (no biological impact or chemical contamination). If there is no measurable biological impact or chemical contamination, the station network can be reduced when the next benthic survey is carried out. For the same reason and provided discharges are low in the meantime, it may also be possible to extend the period between surveys of a field to six years.

4.4 Analytical parameters

This chapter describes what parameters or group of parameters should be analyzed in the collected sediment samples. Table 4.2 below provides an overview of requirements regarding numbers of samples, analytical parameters, sample storage, etc. The Agency may request analysis of additional parameters (screening of new substances) on the basis of information on discharges in a region or an individual field.
Monitoring of the vertical extent of contamination should be done when necessary, after consultation with the Agency. Vertical sectioning of the sediment samples should provide an estimate of how deep into the sediment drilling waste is present at selected stations and whether a natural recovery process is underway.

### 4.4.1 Sediment appearance on sampling

The characteristics of a sample should be described immediately after collection. Use procedure described in NS-EN ISO 16665. See also JAMP Guidelines for Monitoring Contaminants in Sediments (JAMP 2002-16). Such characteristics may include:

- the presence of drill cuttings, empty shells or other objects;
- the presence (or absence) of conspicuous fauna;
- smell (for example H₂S or oil);
4.4.2 Physical and chemical sediment analyses

Total organic carbon (TOC)/ total nitrogen (TN)
TOC is to be determined in samples from all stations in baseline surveys and first follow-up monitoring surveys. TOC should subsequently be determined in samples from stations where biological analyses are carried out. The same applies to TN-analyses, if these are included. The Agency may require continued analysis of TOC/TN if this is considered necessary.

Grain size distribution
Analysis of grain size distribution is required for all stations in baseline surveys and first follow-up monitoring surveys, and subsequently at stations where biological analyses are carried out. As a minimum, the percentages of silt/clay (<63 µm), sand (63-2000 µm) and gravel should be presented for all biological surveys as a supporting parameter for interpretation of soft-bottom fauna data.

Hydrocarbons and synthetic drilling fluids
As a general rule, analyses should include the groups of substances specified below. Certain analyses may be omitted if the operator can document that there have been no discharges of the substances in question. The operator should also take into account the discharge status on the field and in the region and assess whether other parameters should be analysed.

The following analyses should be done on samples collected from all stations in baseline surveys and first follow-up monitoring surveys:

- THC
- main components of synthetic drilling fluids (if used)
- NPD and PAHs (see appendix III).

Depending on the degree and extent of contamination, the analytical programme for field-specific stations can be reduced from the second monitoring survey onwards:

- THC (and possibly synthetic drilling fluids): all stations
- NPD and PAHs: all regional stations and as a minimum, the two downstream field-specific stations closest to the discharge point/installation. Also, on stations
  - where significantly high values were found in the previous survey
  - where the concentration of THC (mean value of three grab samples, including olefins) is higher than 50 mg/kg
  - where biological impacts have been registered.

If significantly high values for THC or NPD/PAHs are found at the two closest downstream stations in one monitoring survey, NPD/PAH analysis should be reintroduced in the next survey for the three downstream stations and the inner stations around the installation in the three remaining directions.
Sediment samples from different soil layers (0-1, 1-3 and 3-6 cm) should be taken for THC analyses on a few fields. These may include fields where drilling with oil-based fluids was previously conducted, fields where leakages from injection wells were registered and fields where dredging operations have been carried out. Particularly which fields and what number of stations are to be investigated is determined after consulting with the Agency. Taking profile samples is not required when conducting baseline studies unless there are suspicions of past contamination from previously drilled exploration wells in the area.

**Metals**

For baseline and first follow-up monitoring survey, analyses of the following metals should be done for all stations: Ba or the equivalent main component in the weighting agent, As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Zn and Hg. Depending on the degree and extent of contamination, the analytical programme can be reduced from the second monitoring survey onwards. Metals should be analysed in samples from all regional stations and as a minimum in samples from the two downstream stations closest to the discharge point/installation. Metals should also be analysed in samples from stations:

- where significantly high values were found in the previous survey (2xLSC is used as the limit for Ba);
- where the concentration of THC (mean value of three grab samples, including olefins) is higher than 50 mg/kg;
- where biological impacts have been registered.

If significantly high values are found at the two closest downstream stations in one monitoring survey, all metals should be analysed in the next survey for the three downstream stations and the inner stations around the installation in the three remaining directions.

If the previous monitoring survey has not found elevated metal concentrations, these analyses can rest as long as no drilling activity was undertaken since, and there are no other relevant factors of importance for metal concentrations in the sediments.

**4.4.3 Biologisk karakterisering**

A thorough analysis of the soft-bottom macrofauna, including taxonomical identification and number of specimens belonging to each species should be carried out. The purpose of the investigation is to reveal potential impacts on the fauna as a result of discharges and contamination on the field. Calculations of biomass are not required.

In some cases, it may be needed to investigate meiofauna in the sediment samples in addition to or instead of macrofauna. This may be relevant in areas where conventional sampling equipment cannot be used. Where such analyses are appropriate, the methodology and relevant fauna groups should be discussed with the Agency.

Samples for biological analyses are taken at all stations during baseline surveys and first follow-up regional surveys. In subsequent surveys, biological analyses should be included for the regional stations and the field-specific stations closest to each installation, preferably at a distance of 250 m. If biological impacts or values of THC > 50 mg/kg are found at field-specific stations, the minimum requirement for the subsequent survey is to take samples at each station where a biological impact was found and the next station in the transect (further out from the installation).
4.5 Sample collection and processing

For sample collection and processing in the field (including requirements regarding the vessel, keeping field logs, choice of sampling equipment, collection procedures, etc.), please refer to NS-EN ISO 5667-19 for sediments, NS-EN ISO 16665 for soft-bottom fauna and NS-EN 16260 for visual surveys.

If a laboratory is seeking approval of a new accredited method, the company should provide documentation that the results achieved with the new method are as good as or better than those achieved with the old method.

4.5.1 Sample collection

For baseline surveys of soft-bottom habitats, there should be chosen appropriate quantitative sampling equipment that can be used for the collection of both biological and chemical samples. The equipment must sample a minimum area of 0.1m$^2$. The use of a different type of sampling equipment in subsequent surveys requires approval from the Agency.

Benthic samples should be taken with suitable equipment to avoid sediment compression. The equipment used to subtract subsamples for metal and hydrocarbon/drilling fluid analysis should not contaminate the samples (see NS-EN ISO 5667-19).

In shallow areas (<500 meters) one should as a rule use a modified van Veen grab (see Appendix IV). Heavier equipment such as boxcorer can be used in deep areas (e.g. Ormen Lange). The most important is that the same type of equipment is used in subsequent surveys.

In some cases, a grab sampler may not be suitable, for example:

- in areas where there are cold water corals or sponge communities;
- in areas where the seabed habitat is heterogeneous - a mixture of rock, stones and gravel with some soft-bottom areas;
- when monitoring discharges from the top-hole section after drilling; in such cases, there is so little dispersal that traditional sampling methods cannot convey the extent of the impacts;
- potentially at big depths (> 500 m)

If necessary or desirable, other types of equipment may be used after consultation with and approval from the Agency. In such cases, parallel use of both types of equipment during field work may be necessary on a temporary basis within a predetermined area. The purpose of such a trial is to determine whether there are differences in the results of significance for data interpretation and time trend analysis.

Separate samples should be taken from the upper 0-1 cm of the sediment (optionally from several depths) in each grab sample for analysis of metals and hydrocarbons/drilling fluid. Each sample is to be packaged, stored and analyzed separately.

Samples for chemical and biological analyses are to be taken from separate grab loads, collected in accordance with NS-EN ISO 16665. This does however not exclude the use of modified van Veen grab sampler because chemical and biological samples taken with such
devices are to be regarded as separate samples. For sieving of macrofauna samples in the field, see the same standard. Sieves should have round openings and a mesh opening of 1mm.

The samples collection methodology for meiofauna analyses needs to be discussed with the Agency. It is preferable to take separate core samples, but it is also possible to collect partial samples from the grab loads for such analyses.

4.5.2 Sample storage

Sediment samples to be analysed for grain size distribution, hydrocarbons, synthetic drilling fluids and metals are to be stored at a minimum temperature of -20 °C until they are analysed.

For preservation of biological samples in the field and sample storage, see NS-EN ISO 16665.

4.5.3 Establishment and storage of biological reference material

Accurate species identification is of fundamental importance for the reliability of the statistical analyses of the fauna. Experience has shown that quality control of species identification of the macrofauna needs to be improved. Consultants should insofar as possible use the same species identification literature.

One way of improving the situation is to build up a reference collection by retaining selected biological material from the surveys (specimens of genus and species). External taxonomists should validate the reference collection at regular intervals. It is important that the contractors/institutions carrying out the surveys use the services of the same external taxonomists. It is also important to be aware of the fact that reference collections and specimen samples from the surveys have different purposes and shall not include the same material.

It would be best to assign the responsibility for the storage and curation of the material to experts, for example within natural history museums. The Agency encourages oil companies/contractors to enter into agreements with suitable museums/institutions to ensure that the same procedures for sample selection, storage and curation are used in all surveys. Relevant museums are affiliated to the Universities of Bergen, Trondheim, Tromsø and eventually Bodø.

4.5.4 Analytical methods

One should use analytical methods described in updated Norwegian or international standards. All results of the chemical analyses of sediment are to be standardised using kg dry weight of sediment.

4.5.5 Physical and chemical sediment analysis

All stones larger than 5 mm should be removed from the subsamples before chemical analyses are performed.

TOC/TN

There is no Norwegian or international standard for analyzing TOC in sediments. Common to the methods in use today is the removal of inorganic carbon with acid and subsequent
Combustion. Detection is however different. We recommend that analysis of TOC is performed with instruments that have a hot wheel detector (HWD). In this way, TN can also be determined in the same batch, without significant additional costs.

**Grain size distribution**

The methodology for determining grain size distribution in the range 2000 to 63 µm is described in Bale & Kenny (2005). No further subdivision of the fraction < 63 µm is required.

The weight of each fraction is determined (to the nearest 0.01 g) and cumulative percentages by weight are calculated for each station. The results are further used to determine the median particle diameter and standard deviation, together with the skewness and kurtosis of the grain size distribution.

**Hydrocarbon analyses**

Hydrocarbons are to be determined in all samples from all stations, in accordance with Chapter 4.4.2. Methods with a high hydrocarbon extracting efficiency from sediment samples must be used. The analytical laboratory must be able to document this on request.

THC should be determined using a gas chromatography/flame ionisation detector (GC/FID) in the retention window C12 to C35. A reference oil sample will be used as an internal standard for the quantification. The reference oil in use is EDC 95/11. If this is replaced, intercalibration exercises using equivalent reference oils are required. NPD and PAHs should be determined by means of gas chromatography / mass spectroscopy (GC/MS), and results should be reported for both individual components, sum NPD and sum PAH. If the drilling fluid used contains organic components (ethers/esters), the samples must also be analysed for these substances.

Detection limits should meet the following minimum requirements:

- THC: 1 mg/kg dry sediment (the quantification limit to be given in the report);
- NPD/PAHs, individual components: 1 µg/kg dry sediment.

Assessment of development trends over time of THC concentrations and size of contaminated area should be carried out based on field-specific data. Similarly, analyses of development trends over time at the regional stations should be done. These analyses are to be carried out using a suitable statistical tool. Data sets from earlier surveys can be found in the MOD database.

**Synthetic drilling fluids**

The sediment samples are to be analyzed for the main component in synthetic drilling fluids. The analytical method needs to be adapted to the relevant substances. Where appropriate extraction and further processing of the sediment samples for these analyses may be conducted together with those for hydrocarbon analyses.

---

3 HDF-200, previously used as a reference oil, is no longer being produced.
Metal analyses
The samples are to be analyzed after digestion with nitric acid, HNO$_3$. The following metals should be determined: Ba, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Zn, Hg and As$^4$. If other weighting agent except Ba was used during drilling (e.g. Ti) the relevant parameter must also be analyzed. For determination of Hg, the samples should be freeze-dried or dried at 40 ºC before sieving and digestion.

All parameters should be analyzed using ICP-MS or other well documented instrumental methods of high sensitivity that provide sufficient accuracy of the results. This needs to be documented in the laboratory’s quality assurance system. Hg may be determined using cold vapor technique/Hg-analyzer. Detection limits for metals are shown in appendix V.

Analyses of development trends over time should be carried out for any metals with recorded values exceeding the background level. Metals with values below LSC may be left out in the illustrations included in the report.

Limits of significant contamination (LSC) and interpretation
LSCs are calculated based on the results recorded at regional stations. Before LSC values are calculated, a principal component analysis (PCA) of the chemical data should be carried out, both for the current year alone and for all available data (as a minimum data from the three last surveys in the regions). The results of the PCA will clarify whether it is necessary to split the region into subregions. If subregions are used, they must be the same for THC and for the weighting agent used (e.g. barite or ilmenite). LSC values are to be calculated both for the current year’s data set alone and using the complete data set from all surveys in the region.

The values obtained with different calculation methods are compared and assessed to choose the relevant LSC (for the whole region or subregions).

LSC values are calculated from mean values, using a unilateral t-test and a significance level of 5 %. LSC values must contain a significant number of digits. The formula for calculating LSC values is given in the appendix VI.

As a general rule, the LSC values obtained on the basis of all available data are quite robust and vary only slightly from one survey to another.

4.5.6 Biological characterization
Special guidelines for the analysis of benthic fauna samples are given below. Otherwise, use NS-EN ISO 16665 for species identification. As a rule, taxonomic resolution should be at species level.

Organisms belonging to Porifera, meiofaunal groups such as the Harpactoida, planktonic organisms such as copepods and mysids, and fish may be identified and recorded if wished, but they should be excluded from calculations of community indices and from multivariate analyses.

---

4 Analyses of arsenic in sediment are carried out for the first time in 2017. Same method to be used as for Cd.
For some groups (for example *Oligochaeta*, Cnidaria, Solenogastres and Phoronida), it may be necessary to operate with morphological forms only, since species identification requires special expertise and fixation techniques. This will fulfil the requirements for the subsequent numerical analyses. Solitary hydrozoans should be identified down to species level, but this is not necessary for colonial species. As an exception, larger species of *Foraminifera* may be identified. Statistical analyses are to be performed both with and without such species.

The taxonomic resolution should as a minimum be the same in the follow-up monitoring surveys as in the baseline survey.

Newly settled juveniles of benthic species should be identified and included in the data set, with the exception of newly settled larva of *Echinoidea*. If juveniles appear among the ten most abundant organisms in the data set, the statistical analyses should be performed both with and without these in order to illustrate their influence on the benthic community.

Only professionally qualified personnel should perform species identification. Documentation of quality control procedures, as well as species identification literature should be available on request. Taxonomic identification should be done using up to date, authoritative literature and digital identification tools. As a general rule, taxonomic resolution should be at species level.

Databases like Worms (World Register of Marine Species) or ERMS (European Register of Marine Species) should be used to provide consistency in terms of species identification so that data is comparable.

### 4.5.7 Processing of biological data

The following data is required for each station:

- complete lists of recorded species (species name and number of specimens of each species);
- total number of species;
- total number of specimens standardized to a sediment surface area of 0.5 m²;
- table with the ten most abundant species (species name, number of specimens and percentage from the total number of specimens at the station), also showing the total number of species found at the station;
- $H'$ (species diversity as Shannon Wiener index on a log2 base);
- $ES_{100}$ (expected number of species per 100 individuals)
  - NSI
  - ISI
  - NQI1

$H'$ and $ES_{100}$ are indexes for species diversity. NSI and ISI are sensitivity indexes recently updated and adapted to Norwegian soft bottom fauna (Rygg og Norling 2013). They describe the extent to which the soft bottom community consists of tolerant or sensitive species. NQI1 is an index that provides an overall indication of species diversity and sensitivity. It is based on the AMBI index for faunal disturbance (Borja & Muxika 2005) and the number of species and individuals in a sample.

All the results above should be standardized to a sediment surface area of 0.5 m².
The following analyses should be done at all stations on a field, including the regional stations associated with the field, and in addition for all the regional stations as a group:

- cluster analyses based on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index (Bray & Curtis 1957), followed by Group Average Sorting;
- ordination by non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS).

The multivariate analyses should be carried out based on the values obtained by summing up the five samples from each station. Multivariate analyses should also be used to investigate the correlation between chemical and biological parameters. It is important that the results are presented and interpreted in a satisfactory manner, given the aim of the survey. The method used to identify stations with disturbed fauna should be described in the report’s chapter on method description, as do the reasons for choosing it.

Other analytical methods than those specified above may also be used, provided that they come in addition to those listed above.

### 4.5.8 Estimation of affected area

A conservative estimate of the maximum area with THC-contaminated sediment (as defined by LSC) is required. In addition, the maximum area with THC over 50 mg/kg and the area with disturbed bottom fauna are to be estimated. The calculations are based on the assumption that affected areas are elliptical, and that the entire area within the innermost unaffected stations is considered contaminated. The calculation method is described in appendix VII. The calculated surface should be compared with those of previous surveys. This can be done provided that information is given on which wells and installations are used in the calculations, for example in a table. If stations are omitted from a survey, resulting in the impossibility to calculate the affected area, it is assumed that the results of the previous year’s survey are still valid.

### 4.6 Reporting

The purpose of offshore environmental monitoring is to provide an overview of the environmental status and of trends over time seen in relation to offshore oil and gas activities. It is important therefore that the survey results are assessed taking into account the state of the marine environment as registered in previous investigations as well as the discharge history in the area. With this in mind, one should assess the condition of and potential impacts on the environment as part of the reporting process.

The results are to be presented in a technical report and a summary report with authorities and the general public as the main target groups. A more detailed description of the expected report design follows below.

The report is to be delivered in electronic format. Unless otherwise specified, one printed copy of each report should be sent to the Agency in addition.

Final reports from conducted monitoring surveys are made available on [www.miljodirektoratet.no](http://www.miljodirektoratet.no) after approval from the Agency.

---

5 Commonly used lower limit for impact on benthic fauna due to chronic exposure.
The Agency expects one report for each regional survey. The report should provide an overview of the main environmental trends in the region and on the individual fields investigated. All raw data and results derived from these should be available to the authorities at all times in the centrally established MOD and in the form of electronic appendices to the reports. Consistency between the database and the reports, for instance in terms of results, variables and station names is very important. We recommend using MOD actively when retrieving and analysing data so that this functions as free quality control for the database and give the possibility to correct any errors that might be identified in the process.

Two separate reports from the monitoring surveys should be delivered: a summary report in English and Norwegian, and one main report in Norwegian. The raw data files, including the cruise log, must be provided as appendices to the reports.

### 4.6.1 Summary report

The summary report should be maximum 20 pages long and be delivered in both Norwegian and English. The target group for the report includes the oil and gas companies, environmental authorities, and the general public.

The summary report should include the following elements:

- A one-page summary with a brief goal description, with tables or figures showing environmental status and trends in the region
- A brief description of the field work
- Presentation and discussion of the most important results (illustrated with figures and tables);
- Main trends and comparison with earlier surveys, as well as uncertainty aspects connected to that
- Illustrations of seabed areas where contaminated sediments and biological impacts have been found should be included for each field and for the region as a whole
- Conclusions and recommendations.

### 4.6.2 Main report

The target group for the report includes oil and gas companies, environmental authorities, research institutions and consultancy firms. The survey and results must be presented in such a way that they also make sense for professionals who have not participated in the actual monitoring. The final scientific report should contain a complete documentation of the completed monitoring survey, focusing on:

- Analytical parameters
- Analytical methods and quality assurance
- The results and conclusions of the survey;
- Trends within individual fields and the region as a whole;
- Issues that should be given priority in future surveys.

The main report should include the elements described in the following.
Summary
A brief description (no longer than one page) of the purpose of the survey, an overview of the state of the environment and trends in the region in form of a table or/and a graph.

Introduction
The following should be described for each field and, if relevant, for the region as a whole:
- the area expected to be affected by discharges from oil and gas activities, according to the EIA
- drilling and discharge history and other activities that may have affected chemical and biological conditions up to the time of the survey
- earlier surveys (table)
- main trends in pollution levels up to the moment of the current survey
- specific goals and priorities for the current survey.

Methods
The methods section should include the following:
- map of stations showing coordinates, map scale, depth contours, existing installations;
- reasons for the choice of stations (if any changes from earlier surveys);
- table with overview over station changes;
- brief description of the completed field work, including time frame for conducting the survey, number of stations, positioning system, sampling programme at each station and any problems or deviations from the programme and these guidelines, with reasons (complete field log in appendix);
- the report should mention whether sampling was not performed at a station or whether samples were rejected due to high stone or sponge spicule content in the sediment. Include a discussion on whether and/or how results were affected.
- brief description of the laboratory procedures (physical, chemical and biological analyses): description of any deviations, with reasons, and an evaluation of how they affect the results;
- detection limits, quantification limits and LSC must be reported for chemical analytical methods;
- principles for quality assurance routines in the field and laboratory, including details on participation in intercalibration exercises for relevant methods
- accreditation status and proof, together with documentation of quality control of the results (chemical analyses) should be included in an appendix;
- which formulae for indices and which statistical methods were used, etc.;
- procedure and criteria for identifying stations with disturbed fauna;
- information about any supplementary analyses and reasons for conducting them;
- where and how the processed material (samples, reference collections, databases) is stored, responsibility for the material and results and their availability.

Results and discussion
This chapter presents and discusses the results of the survey. The elements to be included are summed up in Table 4-3 and discussed below.
Monitoring of benthic habitats (grab sampling)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical/chemical characterization</th>
<th>Biological characterization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• grain size distribution divided as a minimum in silt/clay (&lt; 63µm), sand (63-2000µm) og grus,</td>
<td>• number of species and specimens standardized to a sediment surface area of 0.5 m² sediment (per station)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• for grain size, median particle diameter and standard deviation should be provided,</td>
<td>• community indices (H', ES₁₀₀, NSI, ISI NQI1),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• color smell appearance,</td>
<td>• the 10 dominant species with density and percentage of total,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• total organic carbon (TOC), total nitrogen (TN) - voluntary,</td>
<td>• similarity between stations, grouping by means of multivariate analyses,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• table with average value of all parallel samples as well as standard deviations of chemical results from all previous surveys</td>
<td>• geographical distribution of station groups;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• graphs showing relevant chemical data against year, presented with mean values (any high standard deviations to be marked with * and commented upon in the text),</td>
<td>• description of station groups based on:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• LSC calculated based on results from regional stations for the current year’s data set alone and for the entire data set (at least the three last surveys) i order of priority, for one of the following:</td>
<td>- depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- the whole region (all regional stations)</td>
<td>- sediment characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- subregions if used (based on selected regional stations)</td>
<td>- content of organic matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- a selection of regional stations associated with each field in the region,</td>
<td>- content of hydrocarbons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• concentrations that are significantly different from background levels,</td>
<td>- content of metals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• THC-contaminated areas for the fields and the region (both significantly over background level and over 50 mg/kg).</td>
<td>- biological parameters,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarities and differences in terms of chemical condition and faunaland structure between field-specific and regional stations should be scientifically assessed on the basis of the biological results and multivariate analyzes. It is important that the results from the reference samples and the uncertainty estimations are taken into account in the discussion. This provides important information about the extent to which trends can be identified or whether identified changes are within acceptable uncertainty limits for the methods used.

**Description of individual stations**

Tables and figures should so far as possible be used to present the observations and the average results obtained for all physical, chemical and biological parameters and all indices required. Any classification of these should be explained and reasons for its use given. Other characteristics of the station that are of significance for the discussion should also be
presented. All relevant information given in figures and tables should also be mentioned in the discussion. The same results should however not be illustrated in both tables and figures.

Description of individual fields
- mean values, range (min.-max. and SD or SE), geographical gradients of concentrations, and biological indices across the field;
- comparison with corresponding characteristics for the associated regional stations
- results of multivariate analyses on the similarity between groups of stations (Clarke et al. 2008)
- to what degree the physical/chemical characteristics could explain the observed biological pattern
- specification of the areas where chemical contamination and biological impacts have been recorded
- trends over time on the individual field for the characteristics listed in the bullet points above.

Description of the region
- mean values, range (min.-max. and SD or SE), geographical gradients of concentrations, and biological indices across all regional stations;
- results of multivariate analyses on the similarity between groups of stations, installations, etc.;
- to what degree the physical/chemical characteristics could explain the observed biological pattern;
- specification of the total areas in the region where chemical contamination and biological impacts have been recorded;
- changes in any of the points above since the previous survey.

The points listed in Table 4.3 should be included in the results and discussion chapter. They should also provide the starting point for answering the questions listed below.

- Can one group stations across the field or in the region based on a geographical or other pattern?
- How far from the discharge point/installation are chemical contamination (above LSC) and biological impacts statistically detectable?
- How big is the area with measured THC-concentrations above 50 mg/kg?
- How do the responses correspond with gradients in natural and anthropogenic environmental variables?
- How do the results correspond with those of earlier surveys?
- How do the results correspond with those of relevant surveys in nearby areas?
- Are the effects correlated with the discharge history of the field or region?

The extent of the area with chemically contaminated sediments and the area with disturbed fauna should be illustrated both in tables (km² for chemical contamination and biological disturbance) and in maps for the field/region in question.

Overall evaluation and conclusions
This chapter should contain concluding remarks on the environmental status and trends on individual fields and in the region, discussed in relation to EIA predictions and results of previous surveys. The most important trends regarding the benthic habitat both on individual
fields and in the region should be described. The chapter must also identify areas where there are particular problems.

If visual surveys were carried around the same time as the benthic habitat survey or relatively recently, the results of these should be taken into account in the evaluation and interpretation of the survey results.

**Recommendations**

The report should include thoughts on future environmental monitoring based on this year’s work:

- suggestions for future surveys based on current results.
- suggestions for improvement, modernization and rationalization of the monitoring methodology.

**4.6.3 Appendices**

The appendices to the report should be delivered electronically and should as a minimum include the following:

- final program for the surveys as established together with the Agency
- complete field logs: date, time, position (GMS and UTM, which reference grid is used; grid zone must be specified), depth, number of grab samples and weather conditions presented in table format for each station;
- analysis report;
- tables of all analytical data ;
- raw data files in Excel format.
5. Monitoring of benthic habitats (visual surveys)

In areas with hard substrate, where conventional sampling equipment cannot be used, or in areas where there is reason to believe vulnerable species and habitats may occur, customized surveys shall be performed as a replacement or supplement to grab sampling surveys.

Examples of such habitats found on the Norwegian continental shelf may be:

- Sponge communities (Deep Water Sponge Aggregations, OSPAR 2010a)
- Sea-pen and burrowing megafauna communities (OSPAR 2010b)
- Corals (Norwegian Red Lists for Species and Habitats)
- Established spawning areas (for example sand eel or other species)

Visual or acoustic methods, or a combination of the two, may be used for this purpose. These surveys are to be carried out primarily before exploration or production drilling or in connection with field decommissioning.

The Agency may if needed request that such surveys are performed during the production period as well. Visual surveys have been performed in the Norwegian Sea and the Barents Sea, while visual monitoring (i.e. revisiting a site) will be carried out for the first time as part of the regular monitoring to be performed in 2015. The methodology for carrying out visual monitoring is still under development.

A European standard for visual surveys using remotely operated and/or towed observation gear for collection of environmental data (NS-EN 16260) has been developed. The standard provides guidance for carrying out visual surveys of the seabed and the benthic fauna in connection with petroleum activities when it comes to positioning accuracy, maximum speed of mapping, personnel requirements and the like. For recommended procedures for storage and quality assurance, see the same standard.

5.1 Time frame

Deadlines for planning and reporting as described in chapter 0, are also valid for visual surveys. The time limitations mentioned in the same chapter, under execution, do not apply for visual surveys.

5.2 Recommended equipment

The following equipment is necessary to carry out visual surveys:

- Suitable survey vessel with dynamic positioning system (DP system)
- ROV suitable for the purpose (see below)
- Underwater navigation system/ USBL system
- Data communication (fiber optic connection between the bottom and the surface)
• High resolution video (preferably HD)
• Camera for still images
• Lasers to measure dimensions

Towed observation gear such as drop-down cameras may alternatively be used, although that is generally not recommended. A drop-down camera is not suitable for mapping previously identified targets such as potential coral reefs, pockmarks or crustal areas. A drop-down camera may be an alternative to carry out linear transects for investigating sponge communities (the system must have wave compensation and a good enough light source).

Depending on the survey type there will be different requirements connected to for example the observation gear or the accuracy of the underwater positioning system. More detailed recommendations regarding necessary equipment can be found in appendix V. The standard NS-EN 16260 should be observed.

5.3 Survey strategy and choice of survey lines

Visual surveys on the Norwegian continental shelf are carried out within the eleven geographical regions that are established for the regional monitoring of benthic habitats. The location of each region, which fields are included in each region, and the schedule for regional surveys are given in Table 4.1 (chapter 4.2).

Visual surveys are carried out using a remotely operated underwater vehicle (ROV) or towed observation gear. Survey lines should be representative of the investigated area. The scope of the surveys and the density of survey lines will vary depending on the activity to be undertaken, how heterogeneous the seabed in the area is considered to be, the dominant fauna communities and to what degree vulnerable habitats are present in the area.

The operator should in all cases consider conducting detailed surveys as described in section 5.3.3. Surveys described in section 5.3.1 and 5.3.2 may however be sufficient in some cases. No matter how detailed survey is planned to be, the main purpose is to cover the gradients of environmental factors (e.g. depth and sediment type) expected in the investigated area. The goal is to be able to identify any existing vulnerable habitats and species.

If anchoring of the drilling rig is planned, the potential anchor corridors should also be investigated. Transects investigated in the baseline survey should where possible be used in any subsequent monitoring.

5.3.1 Surveys where the spud location is not known

Background data, such as bathymetry and sonar data, if available, should be used when planning the survey design. If background data is not available, standard line surveys with a recommended density of two kilometers per km² survey area should be performed. Examples of survey designs are shown in Figure 5-1

Survey lines should be planned so that both central and outer parts of the field in all geographical directions are examined. The survey lines should as far as possible be placed so that they go by any field-specific stations used for sediment monitoring.
Where there is one or more potential drilling locations and the final spud location is not clearly defined, it may be appropriate to use a polygon-shaped survey design (survey areas defined by four outer points). At least three survey lines should cross each of the polygons.

### 5.3.2 Surveys where the spud location is known

If the spud location is known, the aim of the survey should be to cover the near-by area in order to detect any potential impact from the activity. The center point should at a minimum be crossed twice. Figure 5-2 shows examples of survey designs.
5.3.3 Detailed surveys

Detailed surveys with relatively dense survey lines may be necessary in areas where one expects greater densities of vulnerable species and habitats. The size of the area to be surveyed will vary depending on whether the spud location is established or not, among other things.

The survey lines should be parallel and cover the entire area that is expected to be affected by the planned activity and a sufficiently large outer area in order to be able to describe impacts compared to natural conditions. The distance between the survey lines may be adjusted according to the survey purpose and accuracy requirements, as well as a cost-benefit assessment. An example with 25 m equidistant survey lines is shown in Figure 5-3.

Collected data may then be used for density interpolations of the surveyed communities within the mapped area. Extrapolation (of for example sponge amounts) outside the mapped area is not recommended.

![Figure 5-3. Example of detailed survey design with 25 m equidistant survey lines.](image)

5.4 Survey methodology for different fauna groups

5.4.1 Corals

Investigating coral presence requires that areas that may potentially contain such structures are already identified using acoustic methods. From the operators' site survey that is
performed on each field, one can identify areas with cold water coral reefs or stone formations and other coral species that do not form reefs. These areas should be classified as potential coral areas and examined visually. See Norwegian Oil and Gas's handbook “Handbook on species and habitats of environmental concern” (SHEC) for guidance on mapping and classification of coral structures.

5.4.2 Sponge communities

Sponge communities should be filmed continuously along the survey lines. Still images should be taken every 30 m as a minimum (even every 20 m) and used to quality check the quantitative estimations. If still images alone are used to obtain the quantitative estimations it is important that the images are not taken to close to the seabed. Multiple images should preferably be used to come up with average quantity estimations for a given area. Sponge communities being mapped should as a minimum be divided into the following groups:

- **«Soft bottom sponge communities»**: Bulky sponges growing directly on the seabed. Includes several species, especially *Geodia baretti* (kålrabisvamp in Norwegian) (*Geodia spp.*, *Aplysilla sulphurea*, *Stryphnus ponderosus* and *Steletta sp.*). These are typical species for the OSPAR-habitat «Deepsea Sponge Aggregations» (OSPAR 2010a).
- **«Hard-bottom sponge communities»**: Sessile sponges growing on rocks and other hard substrate (especially *Phakellia spp.*, *Axinella infundibulum*, and *Antho dichotoma*). It is common to find sponges on hard substrate. When the sponge density is high, these areas will also be ecologically important.
- **«Glass sponge populations»**: Hexactinellida. May occur in higher densities especially in deeper waters.

Depending on the purpose of the survey, it may be a plus to distinguish between different species within the main groups. Some sponge species, such as *Asconema sp.*, may occur both on hard substrate and in larger amounts together with or on soft bottoms sponges. If large quantities are observed, it is recommended to map this specifically.

For mapping carried out in connection with petroleum activities, where it is often needed to investigate sponge distribution over larger areas, it is recommended that the coverage degree of the various sponge categories along the survey lines are as a minimum classified using the following criteria:

- <1 % of the seabed is covered (no sponge/single specimens)
- 1-5 % of the seabed is covered (scattered)
- 5-10 % of the seabed is covered (common)
- >10 % of the seabed is covered (high density)

The total area of seabed classified in the different groups should be summarized and presented in easily understandable figures. Figure 5-4 is an example of how survey data from a sponge community survey is presented.

In the Barents Sea, experience shows it is unlikely to find sponge communities covering more than 10-20 % of the seabed. The classification can be divided into several categories, or individuals and size groups can be counted if that is helpful with regard to the purpose of the survey. The results must still be comparable with other surveys in the Barents Sea, and this can most easily be achieved by specifying the coverage percentage.
Figure 5.4. Example on how data from a sponge mapping survey can be presented. The pie chart sums up the total area of the seabed classified in the different coverage categories for either soft-bottom or hard-bottom sponges.

### 5.4.3 Sea-pen communities

The habitat «Sea-pen and Burrowing Megafauna Communities» is defined as a threatened habitat (OSPAR, 2010b). The habitat includes deep water sea-pen communities consisting of *Umbellula encrinus* and gatherings of for example *Kophobelemon stelliferum* ("hanefot" in Norwegian) or other sea-pen species which can be found both in the Norwegian Sea and the Barents Sea (*Funiculina quadrangularis*, *Virgularia mirabilis*, *Pennatula phosphorea*). There is no established description of the habitat, but it is recommended that the survey aims to map important gatherings of sea pens, as well as the spatial distribution of *Umbellula*. Individual *Umbellula* should be counted, while for other sea-pen communities the following semiquantitative distribution can be used:

- 1-5 individuals per 25 m²
- 5-10 individuals per 25 m²
- 10-15 individuals per 25 m²
- >15 individuals per 25 m²
5.5 Analysis of collected material

Guidelines for analysis and recording of images and video collected during visual surveys are described in NS-EN 16260.

Visual surveys will only encompass megafauna. An assessment of which species are present should as far as possible be carried out. This can be demanding for sponges, and determination of family may be the closest one is able to do. It is important to describe the diversity and not only discuss groups defined as vulnerable. Furthermore, condition and coverage degree should described (see chapter 5.4).

Fauna diversity should be calculated on the basis of semi-quantitative density measurements for particular species/types of fauna. Differences in faunal characteristics should be compared with available information about the physical/chemical conditions at the same localities, e.g. sediment type, hard bottom patches and incidence of trawl tracks.

Only professionally qualified personnel should perform species identification. Documentation of quality control procedures, as well as species identification literature should be available and submitted on request. Databases like Worms (World Register of Marine Species) or ERMS (European Register of Marine Species) should be used to provide consistency in terms of species identification so that data is comparable.

5.6 Reporting

Visual surveys are a relatively recent development when it comes to Norwegian offshore environmental monitoring. Guidelines and requirements for reporting may change as more surveys are carried out and more experience of this method is gained. Some points that should be included in the reports are listed below, but these are to be considered as suggestions since the methodology is still being tested.

The target group for the report includes the oil and gas companies, environmental authorities, research institutions and consultancy firms. The report is to be delivered in both Norwegian and English. This is the final scientific report for a survey. It should therefore include complete documentation of the monitoring campaign, focusing on:

- field methodology and execution;
- analytical parameters;
- methods used for data analysis and quality assurance
- results and conclusions of the survey;
- issues that should be given priority in future surveys;
- assessment of the analytical methods and proposals for improvements.

5.6.1 Contents

Summary

The summary should include:

- a short description of the purpose for the survey
- a description of the field work and of the methods used
- the most important results and discussion (shown in figures and tables if necessary)
• the most important trends and comparisons with any earlier surveys or between this year’s surveyed areas
• conclusions and recommendations.

Introduction
The following should be described for the surveyed area(s):
• description of the area
• field history and plans for the future
• earlier surveys summed up (table);
  o results from acoustic mapping, if available
  o biological investigations, if available.

Methods
The methods section should include the following:
• map with coordinates, map scale, depth contours, etc.;
• reasons for the choice of stations/survey lines;
• brief description of the completed field program, including time frame for conducting the survey, number of stations or surveyed areas, equipment, positioning system, any problems or deviations from the survey program, with reasons (complete field log in appendix);
• description of equipment used (for more details about requirements regarding equipment see appendix VIII)
• description of survey route (description of sampling design, map over and length of survey lines)
• description of methods used to characterize benthic communities, including calculations and diversity, dominance etc., as well as methods for comparing faunal structure to relevant environmental parameters (such as incidence of trawling tracks),
• principles for quality assurance routines in the field (brief if the consultancy firm is accredited for the analysis in question);
• accreditation status and proof if applicable (to be included in an appendix);
• where and how the processed material (video material, still images, databases) is stored, responsibility for the material and results and their availability.

Results and discussion
This chapter presents the results of the survey and discusses them in light of the survey’s objective. Relevant findings should be summarized and presented in maps and tables, including relevant information on type of substrate, topography, fauna characteristics and anthropogenic impact for the field. Reference to the utilized map datum must be included in maps. Findings of redlisted / OSPAR habitats or other potentially vulnerable habitats should be specified (preferably with geographic position).

Overall evaluation and conclusions
The chapter should contain a brief summary of the main findings and any concluding comments regarding the environmental status on the individual fields.
Recommendations

An evaluation of the survey and of the analytical methods used should be conducted. Comments and proposals for improvements should be discussed, as well as recommendations to perform further surveys if results of the present survey indicate such a need.

5.6.2 Appendices

The appendices to the report should be delivered electronically and as a minimum include the following:

- final program for the surveys as established in agreement with the Agency
- complete field logs with date, time, position (GMS and UTM, which reference grid is used; grid zone must be specified), depth, number of grab samples and weather conditions presented in table format
- species list
- still images
- edited video presentations from each field showing transects, types of substrate, fauna and conclusions
- (results in GIS format)
- (data files in an Excel-document)
References


Baršienė, J. et al. 2013, Environmental genotoxicity and cytotoxicity levels in fish from the North Sea offshore region and Atlantic coastal waters, Marine Pollution Bulletin 68, 106-116


EPA, Test method 610 - Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons. Environmental Protection Agency.


ICES/ISO. «Workshop on biological effects of contaminants in pelagic ecosystems» (BECPELAG) - sluttrapport.


ISO/IEC 17025: 2005 - General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration of laboratories.


JAMP Guidelines for the integrated monitoring and assessment and their effects. Agreement 2012-9


NS 4764. Water analysis - Total residue, and total fixed residue in water, sludge and sediments [in Norwegian]. Standard Norge

NS 9434. Water quality - Monitoring of environmental contaminants in blue mussel (Mytilus spp.) - Collection of caged or native mussels and sample treatment [in Norwegian]. Standard Norge

NS-EN 16260. Water quality - Visual seabed surveys using remotely operated and/or towed observation gear for collection of environmental data. Standard Norge


NS-EN ISO/IEC 17025. General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration Laboratories. Standard Norge


OSPAR Guidelines for monitoring the Environmental Impact of Offshore Oil and Gas activities. Agreement 2017-02.


6. Appendices

6.1 Appendix I – Overview of method development work

Requirements related to method development and validation are given in chapter 3.9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter/method</th>
<th>Tissue type/matrix</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Micronucleus, chromosome damage.</td>
<td>Mytilus spp. Fish</td>
<td>Robotics could make this method more robust by avoiding bias introduced by the laboratory personnel. At the same time, the number of registered cells could increase significantly with automatization. Therefore, automatization should be developed and validated for use in the WCM.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibition</td>
<td>Mytilus spp.</td>
<td>Many WCM-reports contain data. This was last measured in 2017, when the lowest AChE inhibition was measured in the zero-group. The parameter needs better validation for produced water or other exposure relevant for WCM.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td>Mytilus spp. Fish</td>
<td>Selected tissues, expression of selected genes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New endpoints should be evaluated in order to include this in the list over required parameters for WCM if they are proven suitable for WCM. Potential areas are hormone disruptive effects.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter/method</th>
<th>Tissue type/matrix</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxidative stress (GR, GSH-PX, SOD, GSH/GSSG)</td>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>Methods lack validation for use in the WCM.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enzyme activity, concentration</td>
<td>Can provide an early warning of effects from other compounds than PAH metabolites. Useful to look at this in relation to effect parameters (for example vitellogenin or qPCR of relevant genes). The method should be prioritised for validation so that it is qualified for use in the WCM.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration of alkylphenol metabolites GC-MS</td>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>For Calanus: the field survey in 2017 was of a limited scope. Consultants were clear on the fact that the method should be prioritized for validation/qualification for use in the WCM. Suggestions on other relevant species were put forward in working meeting of 14th June 2019.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential new species</td>
<td>Calanus spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lumpfish (egg and larvae)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scallops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sponges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Earlier life stages of various species (fish, invertebrates)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planktonic organisms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences in responses between different Mytilus-species and their hybrids</td>
<td>Mytilus edulis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mytilus trossulus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mytilus galloprovincialis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hybrids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>It should be investigated whether the biomarker responses on the &quot;must include&quot;-list are different for the different species.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroxisomal proliferation</td>
<td>Mytilus spp.</td>
<td>More information is needed on how exposure to PV leads to peroxisomal proliferation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular energy allocation (CEA)</td>
<td>Mytilus spp.</td>
<td>More information is needed on the suitability of CEA in field surveys, as well as</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter/method</td>
<td>Tissue type/matrix</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALA-D-activity</td>
<td>Fish, red blood cells, enzyme activity</td>
<td>which responses one can expect after exposure to PV.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitellogenin</td>
<td>Fish, protein concentrations</td>
<td>Previously evaluated as relevant because it can give information on effects of exposure to naphthenic acids. The parameter has given limited information previously but is no longer in use.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eDNA</td>
<td></td>
<td>This is expected to be a method/approach with high potential for use in future WCM.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection of chemicals released in the water column</td>
<td>Water samples or other appropriate sampling methods</td>
<td>Methods for analysis and sampling are needed to detect components of added chemicals in the water column or in exposed mussels. Deployment of sampling equipment shall be done according to the present guidelines in what concerns spreading and risk analyses.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In situ fluorescence</td>
<td>Water, sediment</td>
<td>Measurement of aromatic hydrocarbons from PV, as well as leakage of aromatic hydrocarbons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6-1: Suggested parameters and methods than need further development and/or validation before they can be taken in use in the water column monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter/method</th>
<th>Tissue type/matrix</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Automatization of biological measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Image analysis (digital)</td>
<td>Flow cytometry</td>
<td>Faster results, lower costs. Increase the number of observations/hits. More objective assessments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General measurements for health status</td>
<td>Blue mussels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As per today is stress on stress used. However it is recommended to find another method that is more appropriate in terms of animal wellbeing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphological changes</td>
<td>Whole fish (haddock, cod)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Morphological changes in adult fish are interesting. See Jawad et al. (2018), Journal of fish diseases 41:1909-1915</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histopathology</td>
<td>Blue mussels, fish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Can uncover changes at organ-level.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtering activity</td>
<td>Blue mussels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Valve opening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods for quantifying exposure</td>
<td>Water, sediment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In situ fluorescence Other principles could also be relevant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2 Appendix II – Method archive

This appendiks gives an overview of:
- Methods that have been used previously, but which have either been replaced or turned out to be unsuitable for the WCM-programme
- Methods that have been attempted validated/qualified for use in the field surveys of WCM but found to be unsuitable.

Table 6-2: Parameters that are no longer relevant to be used for water column monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter/method</th>
<th>Type of tissue/matrix</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Year og archiving</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume of the mussels</td>
<td><em>Mytilus</em> spp.,</td>
<td>Other supporting parameters are considered sufficient</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 6-2: Parameters that are no longer relevant to be used for water column monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter/method</th>
<th>Type of tissue/matrix</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Year of archiving</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respiration</strong></td>
<td><em>Mytilus</em> spp., Whole individuals</td>
<td>Respiration is no longer on the list of biomarkers used in WCM due to time and technical constraints related to measuring this in the field offshore.</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lysosomal membrane stability, NRRT</strong></td>
<td><em>Mytilus</em> spp. Hematocytes</td>
<td>This parameter has been used earlier and is now replaced by a newer method. NRRT has a higher risk of bias than the alternative newer method but could potentially be more sensitive.</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General health status: Clearance rate</strong></td>
<td><em>Mytilus</em> spp., Whole individual</td>
<td>The use of this parameter in WCM has been limited due to challenges in terms of the time required to measure this in the field offshore.</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.3 Appendix III – Analysis parameters

#### Table 6-3: US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) list over the 16 most important PAH-components when looking at pollution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>STORET No¹</th>
<th>CAS No²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acenaphthene</td>
<td>34205</td>
<td>83-32-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acenaphthylene</td>
<td>34200</td>
<td>208-95-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthracene</td>
<td>34220</td>
<td>120-12-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo (a) anthracene</td>
<td>34526</td>
<td>56-55-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo (a) pyren</td>
<td>34247</td>
<td>50-32-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo (b) fluoranthene*</td>
<td>34230</td>
<td>205-99-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6-3: US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) list over the 16 most important PAH-components when looking at pollution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>STORET No¹</th>
<th>CAS No²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benzo (ghi) perylene</td>
<td>34521</td>
<td>191-24-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo (k) fluoranthene*</td>
<td>34242</td>
<td>207-08-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysene**</td>
<td>34320</td>
<td>218-01-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibenzo (a, h) anthrasene</td>
<td>34556</td>
<td>53-70-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoranthene</td>
<td>34376</td>
<td>206-44-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorene</td>
<td>34381</td>
<td>86-73-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene</td>
<td>34403</td>
<td>193-39-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>34696</td>
<td>91-20-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenanthrene</td>
<td>34461</td>
<td>85-01-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene</td>
<td>34469</td>
<td>129-00-0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Storage and Retrieval number (US EPA)
² Chemical Abstract Service registry number (American Chemical Society)
* Figures for benzo (b, j, k) fluoranthenes are reported together
** Chrysene is reported together with triphenylene

6.4 Appendix IV Modified van Veen grab for collecting sediment samples

Traditional sampling in connection with monitoring of petroleum activities offshore generally involves taking 8 grab loads (5 biology samples + 3 chemistry samples). In shallower water, as is the case in the southern parts of the Norwegian continental shelf, a regular van Veen grab is most appropriate. A modified van Veen grab (combined) is however also used to carry out environmental monitoring offshore. When using a slow winch and/or at bigger sampling depths (> 100m), the use of this grab sampler saves time, because the sampler’s residence time in the sea is decreased. By utilizing the modified grab sampler chemistry and biology samples can be obtained from the same grab load, the number of necessary loads being thus reduced. One achieves at the same time a more direct relationship between biology and chemistry data. An important objective of these surveys is to find any correlations between measured chemical parameters and the composition of the soft-bottom fauna in an area.

The modified grab sampler is 0.05 m², larger than a conventional van Veen grab sampler of 0.1 m². Biology and chemistry samples may be taken from the same grab load since a divider splits the load in two. The inner walls of this model have two slots, so that the divider can be manually pressed down when the grab sampler comes on deck (Figure 6-1). As is the case with a conventional van Veen grab, the area for the biology samples is identical with 0.1 m², while the area for the chemistry samples is 0.05 m². The sampler satisfies requirements for water flow so that to avoid a “frontal wave” when it hits the bottom (ISO 16665: 2014). The screens have a 0.5 mm perforated mesh which constitutes 60% of the grab top surface.
The sampler’s shape, along with the requirement that the area for biology samples must be 0.1 m², means that the sediment sample must be at least 9 cm deep. The volume of sediment in liters at this depth will be 8 liters, thus meeting the requirement of having at least 7 liters in the sediment sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cm</th>
<th>litre</th>
<th>cm</th>
<th>litre</th>
<th>cm</th>
<th>litre</th>
<th>cm</th>
<th>litre</th>
<th>cm</th>
<th>litre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5,1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10,0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15,0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16,0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12,0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17,0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8,1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13,0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18,0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4,2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9,0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14,0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19,0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drawings and photographs

Dimensions and design of grab sampler. Left and center: from the side. Right: topside
6.5 Appendix V – Detection limits for metals

The detection limits are established with regard to both the sensitivity of the measuring instruments and the background values registered in the sediments in the North Sea. These detection limits (mg/kg dry sediment) depend on the quantities of sediment that are weighted. The values provided in the table below are valid for a quantity of weighted sediment of minimum 1 g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Detection limit mg/kg dry sediment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic (As)</td>
<td>0,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium (Ba)</td>
<td>1,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium (Cd)</td>
<td>0,03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (Cr)</td>
<td>0,5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Tabell 6-5: Detection limits for different metals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Detection limit mg/kg dry sediment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper (Cu)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury (Hg)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead (Pb)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (Zn)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 6.6 Appendix VI – Formula for calculating LSC

\[ LSC > \bar{R}_\ast + t_{(\alpha),v} \cdot s \cdot \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{N_r}} \]

- \( \bar{R}_\ast \) = average of the station mean values for the regional stations
- \( t_{(\alpha),v} \) = critical value from the t-distribution with one-sided t-test with level of significance \( \alpha \) (=0.05) and \( v = N_r - 1 \) degrees of freedom
- \( s \) = standard deviation of sedimentation between station averages
- \( N_r \) = number of regional stations

The standard deviation \( s \) is calculated as

\[ s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_r} (\bar{R}_i - \bar{R}_\ast)^2}{N_r - 1}} \]

where \( \bar{R}_i \) = mean values on the parallels of regional station nr. \( i \).

#### 6.7 Appendix VII - Methods for delimitating affected areas

Two affected areas are to be calculated for each field: one where there is significant chemical contamination by THC (as defined by LSC values, to be calculated for THC and THC higher than 50 mg/kg if possible), and one where there are impacts on the benthic fauna. The areas should be given in km\(^2\). The calculations are based on the assumption that the affected areas are approximately elliptical. The radii of the ellipse depend on the distance along each transect where effects can be detected. The calculations are conservative, i.e. they give an estimate of the maximum area affected. The radii must therefore be calculated as the distance from the center of the ellipse to the innermost station where no effect is found. In
many cases, this will result in an asymmetrical ellipse (see the figure below). The area is calculated in the same way in both cases:

\[ \text{Area} = \pi \times (a+b)(c+d)/4 \]

If no stations have been sampled along a transect, the radius is defined as the distance from the center to the nearest station where no effects were found in the most recent survey that covered the transect in question.

If a transect has never been surveyed, the radius is defined as the average of the other radii.

If a previous station is omitted one year its values from the last time the station was investigated may be used.

On complex fields where there are many installations and overlapping station networks, such as Gullfaks, one common elliptical area should be defined for the entire field. The radii should normally be the distance from the center to the nearest station where no effect is found, but in most cases some assessment will also be needed to define the most suitable area.

The calculation method (chosen ellipse and how the axes are defined) must be documented in the report.

**6.8 Appendix VIII – Recommended equipment for carrying out visual surveys**

The following equipment is necessary to carry out visual surveys:
- Suitable survey vessel with dynamic positioning system (DP system)
- ROV suitable for the purpose (see below)
- Underwater navigation system/ USBL system
- Data communication (fiber optic connection between the bottom and the surface)
- High resolution video (preferably HD)
- Camera for still images
- Lasers to measure dimensions
Towed observation gear such as drop-down cameras may alternatively be used, although that is generally not recommended. A drop-down camera is not suitable for mapping previously identified targets such as potential coral reefs, pockmarks or crustal areas. A drop-down camera may be an alternative to carry out linear transects for investigating sponge communities (the system must have wave compensation and a strong enough light source).

**General requirements for the ROV**

- Enough thruster capacity for the purpose (adjusted depth, current velocity and so on).
- Sufficient power source to operate the equipment to be used (described below).
- Sufficient light, possible to adjust depending on the seawater conditions (particles etc.).

Depending on the survey type (pilot, mapping or trend monitoring) there will be different requirements connected to the observation gear. A smaller ROV / drop camera that does not necessarily hold quite a steady course may be sufficient for pilot surveys. To surveys carried out along established routes or having specific goals a vessel that can hold its’ position faced with strong currents or cable drag and execute complicated maneuvers is necessary.

**Navigational equipment**

Depending on the survey type there will be different requirements connected to the accuracy of the underwater positioning system. The standard NS-EN 16260 should be observed. For mapping purposes, the accuracy should not be less than 2 m + 5% of water depth with continuous recording of positions and depths. All positions and depths must be logged together with the time reference. It is also an advantage to log additional data such as the ROV's heading, altitude, pitch and roll, and the vessel's position.

**Still image camera**

During mapping a still photo should be taken for every 30 meters of the seabed in addition to video, for other details see NS EN 16260. Still images are important for species identification, while video is better suited to calculate the quantitative distribution over larger areas.

**Minimal requirements for the camera:**

- Camera with a resolution of 2 megapixels or higher is recommended. For mapping the camera should be able to capture objects larger than 5 mm.
- A blitz/"flashgun" should be connected to the system so that it is possible to take clearer pictures.
- Possibility to choose between automatic or manual focus, as well as light sensitivity (ISO).

Advice: a camera with rapid focus and higher ISO values is recommended for taking pictures of moving organisms. Other camera features may be prioritized if the targets are mostly still ("sessile").

**Video camera**

**Minimal requirements:**

- Pan-tilt
- Zoom
- Overlay with positon, time, depth, name of survey line etc.
• Possibility to choose between automatic or manual focus

It is an advantage that the observation gear has different cameras available with different light sensitivity and zoom.

Laser distance meters
There are several types of laser distance meters, both bar code and dot types. The devices’ measurements are used to calculate the sizes of objects and fauna.

Description of equipment when reporting
• ROV
  o ROV specifications and supplier
  o Additional equipment, maybe also its assembly (for example light positions etc.)
  o Number and type of lights (halogen, LED or other)
  o Lasers (line- or point type, as well as distance)
  o Still image camera and video camera
  o Speed, height above the bottom, camera tilt, dimming etc.
• Survey
  o Supplier
  o Navigation system and bottom accuracy
  o Event logging/ event fix
  o Navigational screen and accompanying software
  o Utilized map datum
• Any additional equipment
  o For example, ROV-samplers
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